On the existence of higher order polynomial lattices with large figure of merit

Josef Dick^a, Peter Kritzer^b, Friedrich Pillichshammer^c, Wolfgang Ch. Schmid^{b,*}

^aSchool of Mathematics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia ^bFachbereich Mathematik, Universität Salzburg, Hellbrunnerstraße 34, A-5020 Salzburg, Austria

^cInstitut für Finanzmathematik, Universität Linz, Altenbergerstraße 69, A-4040 Linz, Austria

Dedicated to Henryk Woźniakowski on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Abstract

Dick and Pillichshammer recently introduced generalized polynomial lattices which can be viewed as digital $(t, \alpha, \beta, n \times m, s)$ -nets as introduced by the first author. In this work we generalize the figure of merit of polynomial lattices such that the new figure of merit ρ_{α} is related to the *t*-value. Then we show the existence of polynomial lattices with large figure of merit ρ_{α} .

Key words: Digital net, polynomial lattice, figure of merit. *1991 MSC:* 65D32, 65D30, 11K36

Preprint submitted to Journal of Complexity

9 October 2006

^{*} Corresponding author

Email addresses: josi@maths.unsw.edu.au (Josef Dick),

peter.kritzer@sbg.ac.at (Peter Kritzer), friedrich.pillichshammer@jku.at (Friedrich Pillichshammer), wolfgang.schmid@sbg.ac.at (Wolfgang Ch. Schmid). ¹ Supported by the Austrian Research Foundation (FWF), Project S 9609, that is part of the Austrian Research Network "Analytic Combinatorics and Probabilistic Number Theory", and Project P18455. The support of the ARC under its Center of Excellence program is gratefully acknowledged.

1 Introduction

Digital nets [6-9] are useful for the numerical integration of functions with bounded variation over the high dimensional unit cube. Recently generalized digital nets were introduced in [2,3] which are also useful for the numerical integration of smooth functions. First constructions of such generalized digital nets were also introduced in [2,3].

In the classical case there is a subclass of digital nets called polynomial lattices [7,8], which was generalized in [4] to fit the new framework introduced by the first author. Various existence results of such polynomial lattices exist for the classical case [5,10] and in this paper we show existence results of polynomial lattices within the new framework, i.e., depending on their digital $(t, \alpha, \beta, n \times m, s)$ -net properties (the precise definition of such digital nets will be given below). In particular, a result which relates the *t*-value of a classical polynomial lattice rule to its figure of merit [8], is generalized here to a relation between the type of digital nets considered in [2,3] and the polynomial lattices introduced in [4]. More precisely we generalize the figure of merit to higher orders $\alpha > 1$ and relate it to the *t*-value when one considers those polynomial lattices as digital $(t, \alpha, \beta, n \times m, s)$ -nets.

The relevance of such constructions for numerical integration will be explained in the following.

Consider the Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sob},s,\delta,\gamma}$ for which $s \geq 1$ and $\delta > 1$. For the one dimensional unweighted case (i.e. the weights are chosen to be 1) the inner product is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{sob},1,\delta,(1)}} = \sum_{\tau=0}^{\delta-1} \int_0^1 f^{(\tau)}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \int_0^1 g^{(\tau)}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_0^1 f^{(\delta)}(x) g^{(\delta)}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

where $f^{(\tau)}$ denotes the τ -th derivative of f and where $f^{(0)} = f$. The reproducing kernel (see [1] for more information about reproducing kernels) for this space is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{sob},1,\delta,(1)}(x,y) = \sum_{\tau=0}^{\delta} \frac{B_{\tau}(x)B_{\tau}(y)}{(\tau!)^2} + \frac{B_{2\delta}(|x-y|)}{(2\delta)!},$$

where B_{τ} denotes the Bernoulli polynomial of degree τ . For example we have $B_0(x) = 1$, $B_1(x) = x - 1/2$, $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + 1/6$ and so on.

For the weighted version of this function space, the following result was shown in [3] (see also [2] for a version for periodic functions).

Theorem 1 Let $\delta > 1$ be an integer and $b \ge 2$ be a prime number. The worst-

case error for multivariate integration in the Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sob},s,\delta,\gamma}$ using a digital $(t, \delta, \beta, n \times m, s)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b , with $0 < \beta \leq 1$, as quadrature points is bounded by

$$e(Q_{b^m,s},\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{sob},s,\delta,\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) \leq b^{-(\beta n-t)} \left(\sum_{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1,\dots,s\}} \gamma_u (C_{|u|,b,\delta}'')^2 (\beta n-t+\delta)^{2|u|\delta} \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$C_{|u|,b,\delta}'' = C_{b,\delta}^{|u|/2} b^{|u|\delta} \left(b^{-1} + \left(1 - b^{1/\delta - 1} \right)^{-|u|\delta} \right)$$

and $C_{b,\delta} > 0$ is a constant depending only on b and δ .

Thus generalized polynomial lattices are useful for the fast numerical integration of smooth functions. Note that the *t*-value is a quality parameter of such digital nets.

In this paper we prove existence results of polynomial lattices with small generalized t-value. Indeed we prove that there are polynomial lattices for which we can show that their t-value is smaller than that of the digital nets constructed in [2,3]. Unfortunately our results here are not explicit as opposed to the constructions in [2,3]. On the other hand our results show that there is still room for improvement upon the constructions for digital nets proposed in [2,3] (though not for digital sequences).

At the end of the paper we give numerical results comparing the t-values obtained in this paper with the ones obtained using the construction in [2,3] based on known explicit constructions.

2 Digital nets and polynomial lattices

In this section we introduce digital nets and polynomial lattices which can achieve arbitrary high convergence rates of the integration error for suitably smooth functions (see [2,3]). This is achieved by a slight generalization of the classical definition of digital nets, see [6-8], and [9] for a recent survey article on digital nets. The following generalization appeared first in [3].

In the following let b be a prime and let \mathbb{F}_b denote the finite field of order b.

Definition 1 (Digital net) Let b be a prime and let $s, m, n \ge 1$ be integers. Let C_1, \ldots, C_s be $n \times m$ matrices over the finite field \mathbb{F}_b . We construct b^m points in $[0, 1)^s$ in the following way: for $0 \le h < b^m$ let $h = h_0 + h_1 b + \cdots + h_{m-1} b^{m-1}$ be the b-adic expansion of h. Identify h with the vector $\vec{h} = (h_0, \ldots, h_{m-1})^\top \in \mathbb{F}_b^m$, where \top means the transpose of the vector. For $1 \le j \le s$ multiply the matrix C_j by \vec{h} , i.e.,

$$C_j \vec{h} =: (y_{j,1}(h), \dots, y_{j,n}(h))^\top \in \mathbb{F}_b^n,$$

and set

$$x_{h,j} := \frac{y_{j,1}(h)}{b} + \dots + \frac{y_{j,n}(h)}{b^n}.$$

The point set $\{\mathbf{x}_0, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{b^m-1}\}$ with $\mathbf{x}_h = (x_{h,1}, \ldots, x_{h,s})$ is called a digital net (over \mathbb{F}_b) (with generating matrices C_1, \ldots, C_s).

The following definition was first introduced in [3] (see also [2] for a similar definition).

Definition 2 (Digital $(t, \alpha, \beta, n \times m, s)$ -net) Let $n, m, \alpha \geq 1$ be natural numbers, let $0 < \beta \leq \alpha m/n$ be a real number and let $0 \leq t \leq \beta n$ be a natural number. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_s \in \mathbb{F}_b^{n \times m}$ with $C_j = (c_{j,1}, \ldots, c_{j,n})^{\top}$ and $c_{j,i} \in \mathbb{F}_b^m$. If for all $1 \leq i_{j,\nu_j} < \cdots < i_{j,1} \leq n$, where $0 \leq \nu_j \leq n$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, s$, with

$$i_{1,1} + \dots + i_{1,\min(\nu_1,\alpha)} + \dots + i_{s,1} + \dots + i_{s,\min(\nu_s,\alpha)} \le \beta n - t$$

the vectors

$$c_{1,i_{1,\nu_{1}}},\ldots,c_{1,i_{1,1}},\ldots,c_{s,i_{s,\nu_{s}}},\ldots,c_{s,i_{s,\nu_{s}}},\ldots$$

are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_b then the digital net with generating matrices C_1, \ldots, C_s is called a digital $(t, \alpha, \beta, n \times m, s)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b . Further we call a digital $(t, \alpha, 1, \alpha m \times m, s)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b a digital $(t, \alpha, \alpha m \times m, s)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b .

For $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and n = m in the definition above we obtain the classical definition of digital (t, m, s)-nets over \mathbb{F}_b , see [8], i.e., a digital $(t, 1, 1, m \times m, s)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b is a digital (t, m, s)-net over \mathbb{F}_b .

In [7] (see also [8, Section 4.4]) Niederreiter introduced a special family of digital nets over \mathbb{F}_b . Those nets are obtained from rational functions over finite fields. For a prime b let $\mathbb{F}_b((x^{-1}))$ be the field of formal Laurent series over \mathbb{F}_b . Elements of $\mathbb{F}_b((x^{-1}))$ are formal Laurent series,

$$L = \sum_{l=w}^{\infty} t_l x^{-l},$$

where w is an arbitrary integer and all $t_l \in \mathbb{F}_b$. Note that $\mathbb{F}_b((x^{-1}))$ contains the field of rational functions over \mathbb{F}_b as a subfield. Further let $\mathbb{F}_b[x]$ be the set of all polynomials over \mathbb{F}_b .

The following definition is a slight generalization of the definition from [7], see also [8]. A special case of this definition was considered in [4].

Definition 3 (Polynomial lattice) For a given dimension $s \ge 1$, choose $p \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $\deg(p) = n \ge 1$ and let $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_s) \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$. Define

matrices $C_1, \ldots, C_s \in \mathbb{F}_b^{n \times m}$ in the following way: for $1 \leq j \leq s$, consider the expansions

$$\frac{q_j(x)}{p(x)} = \sum_{l=w_j}^{\infty} u_l^{(j)} x^{-l} \in \mathbb{F}_b((x^{-1}))$$

where $w_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the elements $c_{i,r}^{(j)}$ of the $n \times m$ matrix C_j over \mathbb{F}_b are given by

$$c_{i,r}^{(j)} = u_{r+i}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{F}_b,$$

for $1 \leq j \leq s$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq r \leq m-1$. The digital net $\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(q)$ over \mathbb{F}_b with generating matrices C_1, \ldots, C_s is called a polynomial lattice.

Remark 1 For the case considered above there is also an equivalent but simpler definition of a polynomial lattice. Let v_n be the map from $\mathbb{F}_b((x^{-1}))$ to the interval [0,1) defined by

$$\upsilon_n\left(\sum_{l=w}^{\infty} t_l x^{-l}\right) = \sum_{l=\max(1,w)}^n t_l b^{-l}$$

For a given dimension $s \ge 1$, choose $p \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $\deg(p) = n \ge 1$ and let $q_1, \ldots, q_s \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$. For $0 \le h < b^m$ let $h = h_0 + h_1b + \cdots + h_{m-1}b^{m-1}$ be the b-adic expansion of h. With each such h we associate the polynomial

$$h(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} h_r x^r \in \mathbb{F}_b[x].$$

Then the polynomial lattice $\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(q)$ is the point set consisting of the b^m points

$$\boldsymbol{x}_h = \left(\upsilon_n\left(\frac{h(x)q_1(x)}{p(x)}\right), \dots, \upsilon_n\left(\frac{h(x)q_s(x)}{p(x)}\right)\right) \in [0,1)^s,$$

for $0 \leq h < b^m$.

A quasi-Monte Carlo rule using the point set $S_{p,m,n}(q)$ is called a polynomial lattice rule.

Remark 2 The point set $S_{p,m,n}(q)$ consists of the first b^m points of $S_{p,n,n}(q)$, i.e., the first b^m points of a classical polynomial lattice. Hence the definition of a polynomial lattice in [7] is covered by choosing n = m in the definition above.

Finally we introduce some notation: for arbitrary $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_s) \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_s) \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$, we define the 'inner product'

$$oldsymbol{k}\cdotoldsymbol{q}=\sum_{j=1}^sk_jq_j\in\mathbb{F}_b[x]$$

and we write $q \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if p divides q in $\mathbb{F}_b[x]$. Further we associate a non-negative integer k with base b representation $k = \kappa_0 + \kappa_1 b + \cdots + \kappa_a b^a$ with the polynomial $k(x) = \kappa_0 + \kappa_1 x + \cdots + \kappa_a x^a \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ and vice versa.

For polynomial lattices with n = m a connection between the figure of merit and the *t*-value, when one views $S_{p,m,n}(q)$ as a digital (t, m, s)-net over \mathbb{F}_b , was established, see [8]. In the following we generalize these results.

First let us generalize the figure of merit of a polynomial lattice. Let $k(x) = \kappa_0 + \kappa_1 x + \cdots + \kappa_a x^a \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $\kappa_a \neq 0$. Then the degree of the polynomial k is defined by deg(k) = a and for k = 0 we set deg(k) = -1. For our purposes we need to generalize this definition. Let $k(x) = \kappa_v x^{a_v-1} + \cdots + \kappa_1 x^{a_1-1}$ with $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_v \in \mathbb{F}_b \setminus \{0\}$ and $0 < a_v < \cdots < a_1$. For $\alpha \geq 1$ we now set deg $_\alpha(k) = \sum_{r=1}^{\min(v,\alpha)} a_r$ and for k = 0 we set deg $_\alpha(k) = 0$. Thus we have for example deg $_1(k) = \deg(k) + 1$. In what follows we will call deg $_\alpha(k)$ the α -degree of the polynomial k. Using this notation we can now generalize the classical definition of the figure of merit [8, Definition 4.39].

Definition 4 (Figure of merit) Let $p \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $\deg(p) = n$ and let $q \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$ be the generating vector of a polynomial lattice $\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(q)$. For $\alpha \geq 1$ the figure of merit ϱ_{α} is given by

$$\varrho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) = -1 + \min \sum_{j=1}^{s} \deg_{\alpha}(k_j),$$

where the minimum is extended over all non-zero $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$ with $\deg(k_j) < n$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$ and where there is a polynomial $a \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $a \equiv \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{k} \pmod{p}$ and $\deg(a) < n - m$.

Note that for n = m and $\alpha = 1$ we obtain the classical definition of the figure of merit, see [8, Definition 4.39].

Let $\boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$ be a generating vector for a polynomial lattice and let $p \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $\deg(p) = n$. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_s \in \mathbb{F}_b^{n \times m}$ denote the corresponding generating matrices. A slight generalization of [8, Lemma 4.40], see also [4], yields now that

$$C_1^\top \vec{k}_1 + \dots + C_s^\top \vec{k}_s = \vec{0} \in \mathbb{F}_b^m$$

if and only if there is a polynomial $a \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $a \equiv \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{k} \pmod{p}$ and $\deg(a) < n - m$. Here $\vec{k}_j = (\kappa_{j,0}, \ldots, \kappa_{j,n-1})^\top \in \mathbb{F}_b^n$, $\bar{k}_j(x) = \kappa_{j,0} + \kappa_{j,1}x + \cdots + \kappa_{j,n-1}x^{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ and $\mathbf{k} = (\bar{k}_1, \ldots, \bar{k}_s)$. Using this result, also [8, Corollary 4.41] and [8, Theorem 4.42] can be generalized to yield the following theorem.

Theorem 2 Let $p \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $\deg(p) = n$ and let $q \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$ be the generating vector of a polynomial lattice $\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(q)$. Then $\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(q)$ is a digital $(t, \alpha, \beta, n \times$

(m, s)-net over \mathbb{F}_b with

$$t = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor - \varrho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})).$$

We see that polynomial lattices of high quality have a large value of ρ_{α} . In the following section we show that polynomial lattices with large ρ_{α} do exist.

3 The existence of polynomial lattices with large figure of merit

In this section we use the approach of [5] to prove the existence of polynomial lattices with large figure of merit. First note that we can restrict $\boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$ to the set R_n^s where R_n denotes the set of all polynomials $q \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $\deg(q) < n$.

The following lemma gives an upper bound on the number of polynomials in R_n with a given α -degree. Note that we will use the convention $\binom{n}{k} = 0$ for negative integers n.

Lemma 1 Let $l, \alpha, n \ge 1$ be natural numbers. Then the number of polynomials in R_n with α -degree l is bounded by

$$#\{k \in R_n : \deg_\alpha(k) = l\} \le C(\alpha, l),$$

where

$$C(\alpha, l) = \sum_{v=1}^{\alpha-1} (b-1)^v \binom{l - \frac{v(v-1)}{2} - 1}{v-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor l/\alpha \rfloor} (b-1)^{\alpha} b^{i-1} \binom{l - \alpha \cdot i - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-3)}{2} - 2}{\alpha - 2}.$$

Proof. Let $k \in R_n$, $k = k_{a_v} x^{a_v - 1} + \dots + k_{a_1} x^{a_1 - 1}$ with $0 < a_v < \dots < a_1$ and $k_{a_r} \neq 0$ for $r \in \{1, \dots, v\}$. The α -degree of k is then given by $\deg_{\alpha}(k) = \sum_{r=1}^{\min(v,\alpha)} a_r$.

We consider two cases:

(1) $\alpha \leq v$: Then we write

$$k = k_{a_1} x^{a_1 - 1} + \dots + k_{a_\alpha} x^{a_\alpha - 1} + k_{a_\alpha - 1} x^{a_\alpha - 2} + \dots + k_2 x + k_1.$$

As in this case only a_1, \ldots, a_{α} appear in the condition for the α degree of k, we can choose the part $k_{a_{\alpha}-1}x^{a_{\alpha}-2} + \cdots + k_2x + k_1$ arbitrarily and

hence we have at most $b^{a_{\alpha}-1}$ possibilities for this part. Further the k_{a_r} need to be non-zero such that we have at all $(b-1)^{\alpha}$ possible choices. Now we have to count the number of a_1, \ldots, a_α with $0 < a_\alpha < \cdots < a_1$ and $a_1 + \cdots + a_{\alpha} = l$ or equivalently $(a_1 - a_{\alpha}) + \cdots + (a_{\alpha - 1} - a_{\alpha}) = l - \alpha a_{\alpha}$. (Note that $l - \alpha a_{\alpha}$ must be at least non-negative.) This is the same as the number of $0 \le b_{\alpha-1} \le \cdots \le b_1$ with $b_1 + \cdots + b_{\alpha-1} = l - \alpha a_\alpha - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}$; write $b_i = a_i - a_\alpha - (\alpha - i)$ for $i = 1, ..., \alpha - 1$. However, this number is surly at most $\binom{l-\alpha a_{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}+\alpha-2}{\alpha-2}$.

Finally a_{α} can run from 1 to at most $\lfloor l/\alpha \rfloor$ and hence altogether there are at most

$$\sum_{a_{\alpha}=1}^{\lfloor l/\alpha \rfloor} (b-1)^{\alpha} b^{a_{\alpha}-1} \binom{l-\alpha a_{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} + \alpha - 2}{\alpha - 2}$$

polynomials $k = k_{a_v} x^{a_v - 1} + \cdots + k_{a_1} x^{a_1 - 1}$ with $0 < a_v < \cdots < a_1$ and $k_{a_r} \neq 0$ for $r \in \{1, \ldots, v\}, \alpha \leq v$ and $\deg_{\alpha}(k) = l$.

(2) $\alpha > v$: We count all $k = k_{a_v} x^{a_v - 1} + \dots + k_{a_1} x^{a_1 - 1}$ with $0 < a_v < \dots < a_1$, $k_{a_r} \neq 0$ for $r \in \{1, ..., v\}$ and $a_1 + \cdots + a_v = l$.

For $k_{a_r}, r \in \{1, \ldots, v\}$ we have exactly $(b-1)^v$ possible choices. The number of $0 < a_v < \cdots < a_1$ with $a_1 + \cdots + a_v = l$ is the same as the number of $0 \leq b_v \leq \cdots \leq b_1$ with $b_1 + \cdots + b_v = l - \frac{v(v+1)}{2}$; write $b_i = a_i - (v + 1 - i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, v$. This number can be bounded from above by $\binom{l-\frac{v(v+1)}{2}+v-1}{v-1}$. As v may be chosen from $\{1,\ldots,\alpha-1\}$ we have at most

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\alpha-1} (b-1)^v \binom{l - \frac{v(v+1)}{2} + v - 1}{v-1}$$

polynomials $k = k_{a_v} x^{a_v - 1} + \dots + k_{a_1} x^{a_1 - 1}$ with $0 < a_v < \dots < a_1$ and $k_{a_r} \neq 0$ for $r \in \{1, \ldots, v\}, \alpha > v$ and $\deg_{\alpha}(k) = l$.

The result follows by adding the two sums from the above two cases.

Now we can prove our main result which gives a condition for the existence of a polynomial lattice with a certain figure of merit.

Theorem 3 Let $n, m, \alpha \geq 1$, $s \geq 2$ be natural numbers, b a prime and $p \in$ $\mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with deg $(p) = n \ge m$ be irreducible. For $\varrho > 0$ define

$$\Delta(s,\varrho,\alpha) = \sum_{l=0}^{\varrho} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \binom{s}{i} \sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_i \ge 1\\l_1+\dots+l_i=l}} \prod_{z=1}^{i} C(\alpha,l_z),$$

where $C(\alpha, l)$ is defined in Lemma 1.

(1) If $\Delta(s, \varrho, \alpha) < b^m$, then there exists a $\boldsymbol{q} \in R_n^s$ with

$$\varrho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) \geq \varrho.$$

(2) If $\Delta(s, \varrho, \alpha) < \frac{b^m}{s-1}$, then there exists a polynomial $q \in R_n$ such that $q \equiv (1, q, q^2, \dots, q^{s-1}) \pmod{p}$ satisfies

$$\varrho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) \geq \varrho$$

Proof.

(1) There are $|R_n^s| = |R_n|^s = b^{ns}$ vectors \boldsymbol{q} to choose from. We will estimate the number of vectors \boldsymbol{q} for which $\rho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) < \rho$ for some chosen $\rho \geq 0$. If this number is smaller than the total number of possible choices then it follows that there is at least one vector with $\rho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) \geq \rho$.

For each non-zero vector $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$ there are b^{ns-m} vectors $\mathbf{q} \in R_n^s$ such that $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q} \equiv a \pmod{p}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $\deg(a) < n - m$.

Let now $A(l, s, \alpha)$ denote the number of non-zero vectors $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$ with $\sum_{j=1}^s \deg_{\alpha}(k_j) = l$. The quantity $C(\alpha, l)$ defined in Lemma 1 is an upper bound on the number of non-zero polynomials $k \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ with $\deg_{\alpha}(k) = l$. Thus we have

$$A(l,s,\alpha) \le \sum_{i=1}^{s} {s \choose i} \sum_{\substack{l_1,\dots,l_i \ge 1\\ l_1+\dots+l_i=l}} \prod_{z=1}^{i} C(\alpha,l_z).$$

Now $\sum_{l=0}^{\varrho} A(l, s, \alpha)$ is a bound on the number of non-zero vectors $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{F}_{b}^{s}[x]$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \deg_{\alpha}(k_{j}) \leq \varrho$. Hence the number of vectors $\boldsymbol{q} \in R_{n}^{s}$ for which $\varrho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) < \varrho$ is bounded by $b^{ns-m} \sum_{l=0}^{\varrho} A(l, s, \alpha)$. Hence if this number is smaller than b^{ns} , that is if at least

$$b^{ns-m}\sum_{l=0}^{\varrho}A(l,s,\alpha) < b^{ns},$$

then there exists a vector $\boldsymbol{q} \in R_n^s$ with $\rho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) \geq \rho$. Hence the result follows.

(2) We proceed as in (1), but we note that there are $|R_n| = b^n$ polynomials $q \in R_n$ to choose from and that for each non-zero vector $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{F}_b^s[x]$ there are at least $(s-1)b^{n-m}$ of these polynomials q such that $\mathbf{k} \cdot (1, q, q^2, \dots, q^{s-1}) \equiv a \pmod{p}$ for some a with $\deg(a) < n - m$. If at least

$$(s-1)b^{n-m}\sum_{l=0}^{\varrho}A(l,s,\alpha) < b^n,$$

then there exists a $q \in R_n$ such that $\boldsymbol{q} \equiv (1, q, q^2, \dots, q^{s-1}) \pmod{p}$ satisfies $\varrho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) \geq \varrho$. Hence the result follows. \Box

Above we have shown the existence of polynomial lattices which are digital $(t, \alpha, \beta, n \times m, s)$ -nets over \mathbb{F}_b with a good quality parameter t. This follows from Theorem 2 together with Theorem 3. Note that in the search for a polynomial lattice we have to choose the value α up front. If we do not know the

smoothness δ of the integrand, then it can happen that $\alpha \neq \delta$. Hence in order for the bound in Theorem 1 to apply we still need to know the figure of merit of some order α' of a polynomial lattice which was constructed using the parameter α (where possibly $\alpha \neq \alpha'$; the bound in Theorem 1 can then be used where $\lfloor \beta n \rfloor - t = \rho_{\delta}$). Hence in the following we will establish a propagation rule for polynomial lattices.

Theorem 4 Let $S_{p,m,n}(q)$ be a polynomial lattice with figure of merit $\varrho_{\alpha}(S_{p,m,n}(q))$. Then for all $\alpha' \geq \alpha$ we have

$$arrho_{lpha'}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(oldsymbol{q})) \geq arrho_{lpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(oldsymbol{q}))$$

and for $1 \leq \alpha' \leq \alpha$ we have

$$\varrho_{\alpha'}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) \geq \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} \varrho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) - 2.$$

Proof. First let $\alpha' \geq \alpha$. Then $\deg_{\alpha'}(k) \geq \deg_{\alpha}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_b[x]$ and hence the definition of the figure of merit implies the result. Let now $1 \leq \alpha' \leq \alpha$. Theorem 2 implies that the polynomial lattice $\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(q)$ is a digital $(t, \alpha, \beta, n \times m, s)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b with $t = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor - \varrho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(q))$. From a result in [3] it follows that $\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(q)$ is also a digital $(t', \alpha', \beta', n \times m, s)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b with $\beta' = \beta \alpha' / \alpha$ and $t' = \lceil t\alpha' / \alpha \rceil$. Using Theorem 2 again it follows that

$$\varrho_{\alpha'}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) = \lfloor \beta'n \rfloor - t' = \lfloor \beta n\alpha'/\alpha \rfloor - \lceil t\alpha'/\alpha \rceil \ge \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} \varrho_{\alpha}(\mathcal{S}_{p,m,n}(\boldsymbol{q})) - 2$$

and the result follows.

4 Discussion

Combining Theorems 2 and 3 yields results on the existence of digital $(t, \alpha, \beta, n \times m, s)$ -nets over \mathbb{F}_b with low *t*-value. Let us in the following, for fixed *b* and integer α , consider the case $n = \alpha m$ and $\beta = 1$, i.e., we study digital $(t, \alpha, \alpha m \times m, s)$ -nets over \mathbb{F}_b .

Theorem 3 (1) guarantees the existence of a digital $(t_1, \alpha, \alpha m \times m, s)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b , where

$$t_1 = \alpha m - \varrho_1 \tag{1}$$

and ρ_1 is the maximal ρ such that $\Delta(s, \rho, \alpha)$ as defined in Theorem 3 is less than b^m .

Furthermore, Theorem 3 (2) guarantees the existence of a digital $(t_2, \alpha, \alpha m \times m, s)$ -net $S_{p,m,\alpha m}(\boldsymbol{q})$ over \mathbb{F}_b with $\boldsymbol{q} \equiv (1, q, q^2, \dots, q^{s-1}) \pmod{p}$, where

$$t_2 = \alpha m - \varrho_2 \tag{2}$$

and ρ_2 is the maximal ρ such that $\Delta(s, \rho, \alpha) < b^m/(s-1)$.

We compare our existence results to explicit constructions of digital $(t, \alpha, \alpha m \times m, s)$ -nets over \mathbb{F}_b . Given the generating matrices $C'_1, \ldots, C'_{s\alpha}$ of a digital $(t', m, s\alpha)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b , [3] (see also [2]) gives the construction principle of a digital $(t_3, \alpha, \alpha m \times m, s)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b with

$$t_3 = \min\left\{\alpha m, \alpha t' + s \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}\right\}.$$
(3)

For exemplary values of α , m, s, and b, we computed the values of t_1 , t_2 , and t_3 given by (1), (2), and (3), respectively. Our numerical results are visualized in Figures 1–4. The values of t' for existing digital $(t', m, s\alpha)$ -nets over \mathbb{F}_b with explicitly computable generating matrices were taken from the web based database system MINT (available at the address http://mint.sbg.ac.at/) for querying bounds on (t, m, s)-net and (t, s)-sequence parameters (see [11] for a recent outline).

From Figures 1–4 we see that we frequently have $t_2 > t_1$ which is of course due to the fact that the bound on $\Delta(s, \rho_2, \alpha)$ is smaller than that on $\Delta(s, \rho_1, \alpha)$ and the fact that the point sets in Theorem 3 (2) ($\boldsymbol{q} \equiv (1, q, q^2, \dots, q^{s-1}) \pmod{p}$) are special cases of those considered in Theorem 3 (1). On the other hand, generating vectors \boldsymbol{q} of the form as in Theorem 3 (2) are easier to be found than in the general case since the size of the search space is smaller. Overall, the difference between t_1 and t_2 can be said to be not very large.

The main conclusion to be drawn from Figures 1–4 is that both t_1 and t_2 are lower than t_3 for higher dimensions and/or higher values of α , whereas the opposite is the case for lower dimensions and/or lower values of α . This is certainly caused by the term $s\alpha(\alpha-1)/2$ in the formula for t_3 depending on t'. This "error term" becomes large as s and α grow—it becomes so large that for higher dimension t_3 attains the maximal possible value αm . Note that the term $s\alpha(\alpha-1)/2$ comes from an estimation which can in general not be improved for the construction proposed in [2,3] unless one uses more information about the underlying digital $(t', m, s\alpha)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b (it is possible on the other hand that the real *t*-value is actually smaller than the upper bound (3)). In [3] there is also a lower bound (which again relates the t-value of a digital $(t, \alpha, \alpha m \times m, s)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b to a digital $(t', m, s\alpha)$ -net over \mathbb{F}_b), which is the same as the upper bound except for this additional term. From this it follows that the constructions in [2,3] leave some room for improvement and we have shown here that indeed there exist polynomial lattices which can in certain cases improve upon the construction in [2,3]. Unfortunately our results here are not explicit as opposed to the results in [2,3].



Fig. 1. t-values depending on m ($2 \le m \le 25$) for s = 5, $\alpha = 2$, and b = 2 (left), b = 3 (right)



Fig. 2. t-values depending on m ($2 \le m \le 25$) for s = 25, $\alpha = 2$, and b = 2 (left), b = 3 (right)

References

- N. Aronszajn, Theory of reproducing kernels, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 68 (1950), 337–404.
- [2] J. Dick, Explicit constructions of quasi-Monte Carlo rules for the numerical integration of high dimensional periodic functions. Submitted.
- [3] J. Dick, Walsh spaces containing smooth functions and quasi-Monte Carlo rules of arbitrary high order. Submitted.
- [4] J. Dick and F. Pillichshammer, Strong tractability of multivariate integration of arbitrary high order using digitally shifted polynomial lattice rules. Submitted.
- [5] G. Larcher, A. Lauss, H. Niederreiter and W. Ch. Schmid, Optimal polynomials for (t, m, s)-nets and numerical integration of multivariate Walsh series. SIAM



Fig. 3. t-values depending on m ($2 \le m \le 25$) for s = 5, $\alpha = 3$, and b = 2 (left), b = 3 (right)



Fig. 4. t-values depending on m ($2 \le m \le 25$) for s = 25, $\alpha = 3$, and b = 2 (left), b = 3 (right)

J. Numer. Anal., 33 (1996), 2239–2253.

- [6] H. Niederreiter, Point sets and sequences with small discrepancy. Monatsh. Math., 104 (1987), 273–337.
- [7] H. Niederreiter, Low-discrepancy point sets obtained by digital constructions over finite fields. Czechoslovak Math. J., 42 (1992), 143–166.
- [8] H. Niederreiter, Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods. No. 63 in CBMS-NSF Series in Applied Mathematics. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [9] H. Niederreiter, Constructions of (t, m, s)-nets and (t, s)-sequences. Finite Fields Appl., 11 (2005), 578–600.
- [10] W. Ch. Schmid, Improvements and extensions of the "Salzburg Tables" by using irreducible polynomials. In H. Niederreiter and J. Spanier (eds.), *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods 1998*, pages 436–447. Springer, Berlin, 2000.

[11] R. Schürer and W. Ch. Schmid, MinT: A database for optimal net parameters. In: H. Niederreiter and D. Talay (eds.), *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods 2004*, pages 457–469. Springer, Berlin, 2006.