

# MOMENT VECTORS OF POLYTOPES

MONIKA LUDWIG

*Dedicated to my teacher Prof. Peter M. Gruber  
on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

**Abstract.** We give a classification of Borel measurable,  $SL(d)$  covariant or contravariant, homogeneous, vector valued valuations on the space of  $d$ -dimensional convex polytopes containing the origin in their interiors. The only examples are moment vectors of polytopes and moment vectors of polar polytopes.

**MSC 2000:** 52B45, 52A38.

## 1 Introduction and Statement of Results

Let  $\mathcal{K}^d$  denote the space of convex bodies in Euclidean  $d$ -dimensional space  $\mathbb{E}^d$  and let  $\mathcal{K}_o^d$  denote the space of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  containing the origin  $o$  in their interiors. For convex polytopes denote the corresponding spaces by  $\mathcal{P}^d$  and  $\mathcal{P}_o^d$ . Studying these spaces has always been a central subject of convex geometry (see Gruber's survey [3]). A special aspect here is the classification of additive functions on these spaces. Here a function  $z$  defined on a space  $\mathcal{D}$  and taking values in an abelian semi-group is called additive or a valuation, if

$$z(K_1) + z(K_2) = z(K_1 \cup K_2) + z(K_1 \cap K_2)$$

whenever  $K_1, K_2, K_1 \cup K_2, K_1 \cap K_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Hadwiger's classical characterization theorem states that every continuous, rigid motion invariant, real valued valuation on  $\mathcal{K}^d$  is a linear combination of quermassintegrals (see [4] and also Klain's short proof [6]). This result has important applications in geometric probability (see the books of Hadwiger [4], Schneider and Weil [18], Klain and Rota [7]) and started the systematic study of valuations on these spaces (see the surveys [11], [9]).

Here we are interested in the classification of vector valued valuations. For this question, the fundamental notion is the moment vector

$$m(K) = \int_K x \, dx$$

of  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ , i.e.,  $m(K)$  is the centroid of  $K$  multiplied by the volume  $V(K)$  of  $K$ . If we replace volume by the moment vector in the definition of quermassintegrals, we obtain the definition of quermassvectors. Schneider [14] proved the following analogue of Hadwiger's characterization theorem: Every continuous, rotation covariant, vector valued valuation  $z$  on  $\mathcal{K}^d$  with the property that  $z(K+x) - z(K)$

is parallel to  $x$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{E}^d$  is a linear combination of quermassvectors (see also [5]). Characterizations of further important vector valued valuations like the Steiner point [12] and the centroid [15] are due to Schneider. For detailed information, we refer to [13] and [16], Chapter 5.4.

If we consider convex bodies containing the origin in their interiors, we get additional examples of invariant valuations. To state our results, we fix some notation. Let  $P^*$  denote the polar body of  $P$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ , i.e.,

$$P^* = \{y \in \mathbb{E}^d \mid x \cdot y \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in P\},$$

where  $x \cdot y$  denotes the standard inner product of  $x$  and  $y$ . Call  $z : \mathcal{P}_o^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  or  $z : \mathcal{P}_o^d \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^d$  (Borel) measurable if the pre-image of every open set is a Borel set. Let  $GL(d)$  denote the group of general linear transformations, i.e., of linear transformations  $\phi$  with determinant  $\det \phi \neq 0$ , and let  $SL(d)$  denote the group of special linear transformation, i.e., of linear transformations  $\phi$  with  $\det \phi = 1$ .

Examples of  $SL(d)$  invariant, real valued valuations on  $\mathcal{P}_o^d$  are volume, volume of the polar body, and the constant. In [8], it was proved that if we consider homogeneous functionals these are already all examples.

**Theorem 1 ([8]).** *A functional  $\mu : \mathcal{P}_o^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , is a measurable valuation with the property that*

$$\mu(\phi P) = |\det \phi|^q \mu(P)$$

for every  $\phi \in GL(d)$  with  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mu(P) = c \quad \text{or} \quad \mu(P) = cV(P) \quad \text{or} \quad \mu(P) = cV(P^*)$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ .

Examples of vector valued valuations on  $\mathcal{P}_o^d$  are the moment vector  $m(P)$  and the moment vector  $m^*(P)$  of the polar body of  $P$ . It is easy to see that  $m$  and  $m^*$  are measurable valuations, and that the following transformation rules hold. For every  $\phi \in GL(d)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ ,

$$m(\phi P) = |\det \phi| \phi m(P) \quad \text{and} \quad m^*(\phi P) = |\det \phi^{-t}| \phi^{-t} m^*(P),$$

where  $\phi^{-t}$  is the inverse of the transpose of  $\phi$ . For  $d = 2$  there are additional examples. Let  $\psi_{\pi/2}$  denote the rotation by an angle  $\pi/2$ , let  $\tilde{m}(P) = \psi_{\pi/2}^{-1} m^*(P)$  and let  $\tilde{m}^*(P) = \tilde{m}(P^*)$ . Then

$$\tilde{m}(\phi P) = |\det \phi|^{-2} \phi \tilde{m}(P) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{m}^*(\phi P) = |\det \phi^{-t}|^{-2} \phi^{-t} \tilde{m}^*(P),$$

We show that the valuations  $m, m^*, \tilde{m}, \tilde{m}^*$  are the only examples of vector valued valuations with these transformation properties.

**Theorem 2.** A function  $z : \mathcal{P}_o^d \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , is a measurable valuation with the property that

$$z(\phi P) = |\det \phi|^q \phi z(P) \quad (1)$$

for every  $\phi \in GL(d)$  with  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $d \geq 3$  and there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$z(P) = c m(P)$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$  or  $d = 2$  and there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$z(P) = c m(P) \quad \text{or} \quad z(P) = c \psi_{\pi/2}^{-1} m^*(P)$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^2$ .

**Theorem 3.** A function  $z : \mathcal{P}_o^d \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , is a measurable valuation with the property that

$$z(\phi P) = |\det \phi^{-t}|^q \phi^{-t} z(P) \quad (2)$$

for every  $\phi \in GL(d)$  with  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $d \geq 3$  and there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$z(P) = c m^*(P)$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$  or  $d = 2$  and there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$z(P) = c m^*(P) \quad \text{or} \quad z(P) = c \psi_{\pi/2}^{-1} m(P)$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^2$ .

In recent years, also tensor valued valuations on the space of convex bodies have attracted much interest (see [10], [1], [2], [17]). In a subsequent paper, we will discuss this question for the space  $\mathcal{P}_o^d$ .

## 2 Proofs

Let  $z : \mathcal{P}_o^d \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^d$  be a measurable valuation such that (1) holds for fixed  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ . The function  $z^*$ , defined by  $z^*(P) = z(P^*)$  for  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ , is again measurable. For  $P, Q, P \cup Q \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ , we have

$$(P \cup Q)^* = P^* \cap Q^* \quad \text{and} \quad (P \cap Q)^* = P^* \cup Q^*.$$

Therefore  $z^*(P) + z^*(Q) = z^*(P \cap Q) + z^*(P \cup Q)$ , i.e.,  $z^*$  is a valuation on  $\mathcal{P}_o^d$ . For  $\phi \in GL(d)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ , we have  $(\phi P)^* = \phi^{-t} P^*$  and by (1)

$$z^*(\phi P) = z((\phi P)^*) = z(\phi^{-t} P^*) = |\det \phi^{-t}|^q \phi^{-t} z(P^*) = |\det \phi^{-t}|^q \phi^{-t} z^*(P).$$

Thus  $z^* : \mathcal{P}_o^d \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^d$  is a measurable valuation with the property that  $z^*(\phi P) = |\det \phi^{-t}|^q \phi^{-t} z^*(P)$  for every  $\phi \in GL(d)$ , and Theorems 2 and 3 are equivalent for fixed  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ . This enables us to prove both theorems by first proving Theorem 2 for  $q > -1$  and then Theorem 3 for  $q \leq -1$ .

## 2.1 Proof of Theorem 2 for $q > -1$

1. We begin by proving Theorem 2 for  $q > -1$  and  $d = 2$ . We fix an  $x_1$ - $x_2$ -coordinate system and write  $x = (x_1, x_2)^t$  for  $x \in \mathbb{E}^2$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_o(x_1, x_2)$  the set of convex polygons  $Q = [I_1, I_2]$  where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are closed intervals lying on the  $x_1$ -axis and  $x_2$ -axis, respectively, and containing the origin in their interiors. Here  $[P_1, \dots, P_n]$  denotes the convex hull of  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ . Let  $I_1$  be fixed and define  $w : \mathcal{P}_o^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^2$  by  $w(I_2) = z([I_1, I_2])$ . Then  $w$  is a valuation on  $\mathcal{P}_o^1$ . Since  $z$  is measurable, so is  $w$ . Let  $\phi \in SL(2)$  be the linear transformation that multiplies the  $x_1$ -coordinate by a factor  $1/r$  and the  $x_2$ -coordinate by a factor  $r$ . By (1), we have

$$z(\phi[I_1, I_2]) = z([r^{-1} I_1, r I_2]) = \phi z([I_1, I_2]). \quad (3)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} z_1([r^{-1} I_1, r I_2]) &= r^{-2q-1} z_1([I_1, r^2 I_2]) = r^{-1} z_1([I_1, I_2]), \\ z_2([r^{-1} I_1, r I_2]) &= r^{-2q-1} z_2([I_1, r^2 I_2]) = r z_2([I_1, I_2]), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w_1(r^2 I_2) = r^{2q} w_1(I_2) \quad \text{and} \quad w_2(r^2 I_2) = r^{2q+2} w_2(I_2),$$

i.e.,  $w_1$  is homogeneous of degree  $q$  and  $w_2$  is homogeneous of degree  $q + 1$ .

We need the following result (cf. [8], equations (3) and (4)), which is a simple consequence of solving Cauchy's functional equation. Let  $\nu : \mathcal{P}_o^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable valuation that is homogeneous of degree  $r$ . If  $r = 0$ , then there are constants  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\nu([-s, t]) = a \log\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) + b \quad (4)$$

for every  $s, t > 0$ , and if  $r \neq 0$ , then there are constants  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\nu([-s, t]) = a s^r + b t^r \quad (5)$$

for every  $s, t > 0$ .

**1.1.** We consider the case  $q > -1$ ,  $q \neq 0$ . It follows from (5) that

$$w_1([-s, t]) = a_1 s^q + b_1 t^q \quad \text{and} \quad w_2([-s, t]) = a_2 s^{q+1} + b_2 t^{q+1},$$

and

$$z_1([I_1, I_2]) = a_1(I_1) s_2^q + b_1(I_1) t_2^q \quad \text{and} \quad z_2([I_1, I_2]) = a_2(I_1) s_2^{q+1} + b_2(I_1) t_2^{q+1},$$

where  $I_2 = [-s_2, t_2]$ . The functionals  $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 : \mathcal{P}_o^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are measurable valuations. By (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_1([r^{-1} I_1, r I_2]) &= r^{2q+1} z_1([r^{-2} I_1, I_2]) = r^{-1} z_1([I_1, I_2]), \\ z_2([r^{-1} I_1, r I_2]) &= r^{2q+1} z_2([r^{-2} I_1, I_2]) = r z_2([I_1, I_2]). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Therefore  $a_1, b_1$  are homogeneous of degree  $q + 1$  and  $a_2, b_2$  are homogeneous of degree  $q$ . By (5) there are constants  $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i, i = 1, 2$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} z_1([I_1, I_2]) &= (a_1 s_1^{q+1} + b_1 t_1^{q+1}) s_2^q + (c_1 s_1^{q+1} + d_1 t_1^{q+1}) t_2^q, \\ z_2([I_1, I_2]) &= (a_2 s_1^q + b_2 t_1^q) s_2^{q+1} + (c_2 s_1^q + d_2 t_1^q) t_2^{q+1} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for every  $s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2 > 0$ . Let

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_1(\phi[I_1, I_2]) &= z_1([-I_2, I_1]) = -z_2([I_1, I_2]), \\ z_2(\phi[I_1, I_2]) &= z_2([-I_2, I_1]) = z_1([I_1, I_2]), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} z_1(\psi[I_1, I_2]) &= z_1([-I_1, I_2]) = -z_1([I_1, I_2]), \\ z_2(\psi[I_1, I_2]) &= z_2([-I_1, I_2]) = z_2([I_1, I_2]). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We use (7), compare coefficients in (8) and (9), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_1([I_1, I_2]) &= a (s_1^{q+1} - t_1^{q+1}) (s_2^q + t_2^q), \\ z_2([I_1, I_2]) &= a (s_1^q + t_1^q) (s_2^{q+1} - t_2^{q+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for every  $s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2 > 0$  with  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}_o^2(x_1)$  be the set of convex polygons  $[I_1, u, v]$  where  $I_1$  is a closed interval on the  $x_1$ -axis containing the origin in its interior and  $u, v$  are points in the open lower and upper halfplane, respectively. Denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_o^2$  the set of  $SL(2)$ -images of  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_o(x_1, x_2)$  and by  $\mathcal{R}_o^2$  the set of  $SL(2)$ -images of  $R \in \mathcal{R}_o^2(x_1)$ . We need the following result.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $z : \mathcal{P}_o^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable valuation such that (1) and (10) hold. If  $q > -1$  and  $q \neq 0, 1$ , then  $z(Q) = 0$  for every  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_o^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R = [I_1, s u, t v]$  where  $I_1 = [-s_1, t_1]$  lies on the  $x_1$ -axis,  $u = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $v = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s_1, t_1, s, t > 0$ . First we show that

$$\lim_{s, t \rightarrow 0} z_2([I_1, s u, t v]) \quad (11)$$

exists. Since  $z_2$  is a valuation, we have for  $0 < t' < t$  and  $t'' > 0$  suitably large

$$z_2([I_1, s u, t v]) + z_2([I_1, -t'' v, t' v]) = z_2([I_1, s u, t' v]) + z_2([I_1, -t'' v, t v]).$$

Since  $[I_1, -t'' v, t' v], [I_1, -t'' v, t v] \in \mathcal{Q}_o^2$ , we obtain by (1) and (10)

$$z_2([I_1, s u, t v]) - z_2([I_1, s u, t' v]) = a (s_1^q + t_1^q) (t'^{q+1} - t^{q+1}).$$

Similarly, we have for  $0 < s' < s$  and  $s'' > 0$  suitably large

$$z_2([I_1, s u, t' v]) - z_2([I_1, s' u, t' v]) = a(s_1^q + t_1^q)(s^{q+1} - s'^{q+1}).$$

Since  $q > -1$ , this implies that the limit (11) exists. Note that

$$z_2([I_1, s u, t v]) = z_2([I_1, s' u, t' v]) + a(s_1^q + t_1^q)(s^{q+1} - s'^{q+1} - t^{q+1} + t'^{q+1}). \quad (12)$$

Next, we show that the limit in (11) is equal to 0. For  $I_1$  fixed, set  $f(x, y) = \lim_{s, t \rightarrow 0} z_2([I_1, s u, t v])$ , where  $u = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $z_2$  is a valuation, we have for  $r > 0$  suitably small

$$z_2([I_1, s u, t v]) + z_2([I_1, -s r e, t r e]) = z_2([I_1, s u, t r e]) + z_2([I_1, -s r e, t v])$$

where  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . This implies that

$$f(x, y) + f(0, 0) = f(x, 0) + f(0, y). \quad (13)$$

Note that  $f(0, 0) = 0$ , since  $[I_1, -s r e, t r e] \in \mathcal{Q}_o^2$  and since (10) holds. Set

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (1) we have  $z_2([I_1, s u, t v]) = z_2([I_1, s \phi u, t \phi v]) = z_2([I_1, -s e, t w])$  where  $w = \begin{pmatrix} x+y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore

$$f(x, y) = f(0, x + y). \quad (14)$$

Set  $g(x) = f(0, x)$ . Then it follows from (13) and (14) that

$$g(x + y) = g(x) + g(y).$$

This is one of Cauchy's functional equations. Since  $z_2$  is measurable, so is  $g$ . Therefore there is a  $w_2(I_1) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{s, t \rightarrow 0} z_2([I_1, s u, t v]) = g(x + y) = w_2(I_1)(x + y). \quad (15)$$

Using this we obtain the following. By (1)  $z_2$  is homogeneous of degree  $2q + 1$ . Therefore

$$w_2(r I_1) = r^{2q+1} w_2(I_1). \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, let  $\psi \in GL(2)$  be the linear transformation that multiplies the  $x_1$ -coordinate by  $r$  and the  $x_2$ -coordinate by 1. Then  $z_2(\psi R) = r^q z_2(R)$  and by (15),  $w_2(r I_1) = r^{q-1} w_2(I_1)$ . Since  $q > -1$ , this combined with (16) shows that  $w_2(I_1) = 0$ . Thus we obtain by (12) that

$$z_2([I_1, s u, t v]) = a(s_1^q + t_1^q)(s^{q+1} - t^{q+1}). \quad (17)$$

Let  $T_r^s$  be the triangle with vertices  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} -s \\ -sr \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $r, s > 0$ . Then  $T_r^s = [I_1, sru, v]$  with  $I_1 = [-s_1, 1]$ ,  $s_1 = s/(1+sr)$ ,  $u = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $x = -1/r$ ,  $v = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $y = 0$ . By (17) we have

$$z_2(T_r^s) = a \left( \left( \frac{s}{1+sr} \right)^q + 1 \right) ((sr)^{q+1} - 1). \quad (18)$$

To determine  $z_1(T_r^s)$ , note that  $T_r^s = \phi T_{1/r}^{sr}$  where

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (1) this implies that

$$z_1(T_r^s) = z_2(T_{1/r}^{sr}) = a (s^{q+1} - 1) \left( \left( \frac{sr}{1+s} \right)^q + 1 \right). \quad (19)$$

Let the triangle  $T^s(x, y)$  be the convex hull of  $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1-y \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1-x \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} -s \\ -s \end{pmatrix}$ . For  $0 \leq x < 1/2 < y \leq 1$ , we have  $T^s(x, y) \in \mathcal{P}_o^2$ ,  $T_r^s = T^s(0, 1) = T^s(0, y) \cup T^s(x, 1)$  with  $r = 1$ , and  $T^s(x, y) = T^s(0, y) \cap T^s(x, 1)$ . Since  $z$  is a valuation, this implies that

$$z(T^s(0, y)) + z(T^s(x, 1)) = z(T^s(0, 1)) + z(T^s(x, y)). \quad (20)$$

Let

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 1-y & 1-x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $T^s(x, y) = \phi T_r^{st}$  with  $r = (2y-1)/(1-2x)$  and  $t = (1-2x)/(y-x)$ . Therefore we get by (1)

$$z(T^s(x, y)) = (y-x)^q \phi z(T_r^{st}).$$

If  $q > 0$ , then by (19) and (18)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} z_1(T_r^{st}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} z_2(T_r^{st}) = -a$ . Therefore it follows from (20) that

$$a (y^{q+1} + (1-x)^q(1+x)) = a (1 + (y-x)^q(y+x)). \quad (21)$$

Taking the limit as  $x, y \rightarrow 1/2$  in (21), we obtain  $a 2(1/2)^q = a$ . This shows that  $a = 0$  for  $q \neq 1$ . If  $-1 < q < 0$ , we set  $y = 1-x$  and  $s = 1$ . Then the right hand side of (20) vanishes. We multiply (20) by  $(1-2x)^{q+1}$  and take the limit as  $x \rightarrow 1/2$ , and obtain  $a(1/2)^{q+1} = 0$ . This shows that  $a = 0$  for  $-1 < q < 0$  and completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

For  $q > -1$ ,  $q \neq 0, 1$ , we apply Lemma 1 and obtain  $z(Q) = o$  for every  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_o^2$ . Using Lemmas 3 and 4 (stated and proved below) shows that Theorem 2 holds for  $q > -1$ ,  $q \neq 0, 1$ , and  $d = 2$ . If  $q = 1$ , then (10) implies that  $z(Q) = -6am(Q)$  for every  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_o^2$ . Applying Lemmas 3 and 4 to  $w(P) = z(P) + 6am(P)$  shows

that  $w(P) = o$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^2$ . This implies that Theorem 2 holds for  $q = 1$  and  $d = 2$ .

**1.2.** We consider the case  $q = 0$ . Then we have by (4) and (5)

$$\begin{aligned} z_1([I_1, I_2]) &= a_1(I_1) \log\left(\frac{t_2}{s_2}\right) + b_2(I_1), \\ z_2([I_1, I_2]) &= a_2(I_1) s_2 + b_2(I_1) t_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $I_2 = [-s_2, t_2]$ . The functionals  $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 : \mathcal{P}_o^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are measurable valuations. Equations (6) imply that  $a_1, b_1$  are homogeneous of degree 1 and that  $a_2, b_2$  are homogeneous of degree 0. Thus, by (4) and (5) there are constants  $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i, i = 1, 2$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} z_1([I_1, I_2]) &= (a_1 s_1 + b_1 t_1) \log\left(\frac{t_2}{s_2}\right) + (c_1 s_1 + d_1 t_1), \\ z_2([I_1, I_2]) &= (a_2 \log\left(\frac{t_1}{s_1}\right) + b_2) s_2 + (c_2 \log\left(\frac{t_1}{s_1}\right) + d_2) t_2. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients in (8) and (9) shows that

$$z_1([I_1, I_2]) = a(s_1 - t_1) \quad \text{and} \quad z_2([I_1, I_2]) = a(s_2 - t_2) \quad (22)$$

for every  $s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2 > 0$  with  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We need the following result.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $z : \mathcal{P}_o^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable valuation such that (1) and (22) hold. Then  $z(R) = o$  for every  $R \in \mathcal{R}_o^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R = [I_1, s u, t v]$  where  $I_1 = [-s_1, t_1]$  lies on the  $x_1$ -axis,  $u = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $v = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s_1, t_1, s, t > 0$ . First, note that for  $q = 0$  (22) implies that (10) holds. Therefore we can proceed as in Lemma 1 and obtain by (17)

$$z_2([I_1, s u, t v]) = a(s - t). \quad (23)$$

Next we show that

$$\lim_{s, t \rightarrow 0} z_1([I_1, s u, t v]) \quad (24)$$

exists. Since  $z_1$  is a valuation, we have for  $0 < t' < t$  and  $t'' > 0$  suitably large

$$z_1([I_1, s u, t v]) + z_1([I_1, -t'' v, t' v]) = z_1([I_1, s u, t' v]) + z_1([I_1, -t'' v, t v]).$$

Since  $[I_1, -t'' v, t' v], [I_1, -t'' v, t v] \in \mathcal{Q}_o^2$ , we obtain by (1) and (22)

$$z_1([I_1, s u, t v]) - z_1([I_1, s u, t' v]) = y a(t' - t).$$

In a similar way, we see that

$$z_1([I_1, s u, t' v]) - z_1([I_1, s' u, t' v]) = -x a(s - s').$$



This implies that the limit (24) exists. Note that

$$z_1([I_1, s u, t v]) = z_1([I_1, s' u, t' v]) + y a (t' - t) - x a (s - s'). \quad (25)$$

For  $I_1$  fixed, set  $f(x, y) = \lim_{s, t \rightarrow 0} z_1([I_1, s u, t v])$ , where  $u = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $z_1$  is a valuation, we have for  $r > 0$  suitably small

$$z_1([I_1, s u, t v]) + z_1([I_1, -s r e, t r e]) = z_1([I_1, s u, t r e]) + z_1([I_1, -s r e, t v])$$

where  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . This implies that

$$f(x, y) + f(0, 0) = f(x, 0) + f(0, y). \quad (26)$$

Note that  $f(0, 0) = a (s_1 - t_1)$ , since  $[I_1, -s r e, t r e] \in \mathcal{Q}_o^2$ . Set

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$z_1(\phi[I_1, s u, t v]) = z_1([\phi I_1, s \phi u, t \phi v]) = z_1([I_1, -s e, t w])$$

and by (1) and (23)

$$z_1(\phi[I_1, s u, t v]) = z_1([I_1, s u, t v]) + x z_2([I_1, s u, t v])$$

where  $w = \begin{pmatrix} x+y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Consequently

$$f(x, y) = f(0, x + y). \quad (27)$$

Set  $g(x) = f(0, x) - f(0, 0)$ . Then it follows from (26) and (27) that

$$g(x + y) = g(x) + g(y).$$

This is one of Cauchy's functional equations. Since  $z_1$  is measurable, so is  $g$ . Therefore there is a  $w_1(I_1) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g(x) = w_1(I_1) x$  and

$$\lim_{s, t \rightarrow 0} z_1([I_1, s u, t v]) = g(x + y) + f(0, 0) = w_1(I_1)(x + y) + a (s_1 - t_1). \quad (28)$$

Using this we obtain the following. By (1),  $z_1$  is homogeneous of degree 1. Therefore

$$w_1(r I_1) = r w_1(I_1). \quad (29)$$

On the other hand, let  $\phi \in GL(2)$  be the linear transformation that multiplies the  $x_1$ -coordinate by  $r$  and the  $x_2$ -coordinate by 1. Then  $z_1(\phi R) = r z_1(R)$  and by (28),  $w_1(r I_1) = w_1(I_1)$ . Combined with (29) this shows that  $w_1(I_1) = 0$ . Therefore we obtain by (28) and (25) that

$$z_1([I_1, s u, t v]) = a (s_1 - t_1) - y a t - x a s. \quad (30)$$

Let  $T_r^s$  be the triangle with vertices  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} -s \\ -sr \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $r, s > 0$ . Then  $T_r^s = [I_1, sru, v]$  with  $I_1 = [-s_1, 1]$ ,  $s_1 = s/(1 + sr)$ ,  $u = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $x = -1/r$ ,  $v = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $y = 0$ . By (30) and (23) we have

$$z_1(T_r^s) = a \left( \frac{s}{1 + sr} - 1 \right) + as \quad \text{and} \quad z_2(T_r^s) = a(sr - 1). \quad (31)$$

We can determine  $z_1(T_r^s)$  also in the following way. Since  $T_r^s = \phi T_{1/r}^{sr}$  with

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we have by (1),  $z_1(T_r^s) = z_2(T_{1/r}^{sr}) = a(sr - 1)$ . Combined with (31) this shows that  $a = 0$ . Because of (23) and (30) this completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Since  $z(R) = o$  for every  $R \in \mathcal{R}_o^2$ , using Lemma 4 we obtain that Theorem 2 holds for  $q = 0$  and  $d = 2$ .

**2.** Now let  $d \geq 3$ . We use induction on the dimension  $d$ . Suppose that Theorem 2 is true for  $q > -1$  in dimension  $(d - 1)$ .

We fix an  $x_1 \dots x_d$ -coordinate system, identify the  $x_1 \dots x_{d-1}$ -coordinate hyperplane with  $\mathbb{E}^{d-1}$ , and write  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^t = (x', x_d)^t$  with  $x' \in \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{E}^d$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}_o(x_d)$  be the set of convex polytopes  $Q = [P', I]$  where  $P' \in \mathcal{P}_o^{d-1}$  and  $I$  is a closed interval lying on the  $x_d$ -axis and containing the origin in its interior. For  $I$  fixed, define  $z' : \mathcal{P}_o^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{P}_o^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$z'(P') = \begin{pmatrix} z_1([P', I]) \\ \vdots \\ z_{d-1}([P', I]) \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\mu(P') = z_d([P', I]). \quad (33)$$

Then  $z'$  and  $\mu$  are measurable valuations on  $\mathcal{P}_o^{d-1}$ . For every  $\phi' \in GL(d - 1)$  we have

$$z'(\phi'P') = |\det \phi'|^q z'(P') \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\phi'P') = |\det \phi'|^q \mu(P'). \quad (34)$$

This can be seen in the following way. Let  $\phi \in GL(d)$  with coefficients  $\phi_{ij}$  be such that  $\phi_{ij} = \phi'_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, d - 1$ ,  $\phi_{dj} = \phi_{id} = 0$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, d - 1$ , and  $\phi_{dd} = 1$ . Then  $\det \phi = \det \phi'$  and (1) shows that (34) holds.

Let  $q \neq 1$ ,  $q > -1$ . We apply Theorem 2 for  $q > -1$  in dimension  $(d - 1)$  and obtain that  $z'(P') = o'$ . If  $q \neq 0$ , then Theorem 1 implies that  $\mu(P') = 0$ . If  $q = 0$ , then we obtain that  $\mu(P') = c$  and  $z_d([P', I]) = c(I)$ . We take  $Q = [I_1, \dots, I_d]$ , where  $I_j$  is an interval on the  $x_j$ -axis containing the origin in its interior, and  $\phi \in SL(d)$  that interchanges the first and last coordinates, and obtain from (1) that we have  $c(I) = 0$ . Thus for  $q \neq 1$ ,  $q > -1$ ,

$$z(Q) = o \quad (35)$$

for  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_o(x_d)$ .

Now let  $q = 1$ . Then Theorem 2 in dimension  $(d - 1)$  and Theorem 1 imply that

$$z'(P') = a m'(P') \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(P') = b V_{d-1}(P') \quad (36)$$

where  $m'$  is the moment vector in  $\mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  and  $V_{d-1}$  is volume in  $\mathbb{E}^{d-1}$ . Thus we have

$$z([P', I]) = \begin{pmatrix} a(I) m'(P') \\ b(I) V_{d-1}(P') \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $a, b : \mathcal{P}_o^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are measurable valuations. Let  $\phi \in SL(d)$  be the transformation that multiplies the first  $(d - 1)$  coordinates by  $r$  and the last coordinate by  $r^{-(d-1)}$ . By (1) we have

$$z(\phi[P', I]) = z([r P', r^{-(d-1)} I]) = \phi z([P', I])$$

and

$$z(\phi[P', I]) = z(r [P', r^{-d} I]) = r^{d+1} z([P', r^{-d} I]).$$

Therefore  $a$  is homogeneous of degree 1 and by (5) there are constants  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$a([-s, t]) = a_1 s + a_2 t.$$

The functional  $b$  is homogeneous of degree 2 and by (5) there are constants  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$b([-s, t]) = b_1 s^2 + b_2 t^2.$$

Now let  $\phi$  be the orthogonal reflection on the hyperplane  $\mathbb{E}^{d-1}$ . Then

$$z(\phi[P', I]) = z([P', -I]) = \phi z([P', I]).$$

Consequently,  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $b_1 = -b_2$ . To determine  $a_1$  and  $b_1$ , let  $P = [I_1, \dots, I_d]$  where  $I_j$  is an interval on the  $x_j$ -axis containing the origin in its interior,  $I_1 = I_d$ , and let  $\phi$  be a linear transformation that interchanges the first and last coordinates. Then  $\phi P = P$  and by (1)  $z_d(\phi P) = z_1(P)$ . By calculating  $m(Q)$ , we obtain that

$$z(Q) = a m(Q) \quad (37)$$

for  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_o(x^d)$  with  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}_o^d(x_d)$  be the set of convex polytopes  $[P', u, v]$  where  $P' \in \mathcal{P}_o^{d-1}$  and  $u, v$  are points in the halfspace  $x_d < 0$  and  $x_d > 0$ , respectively. Denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_o^d$  the set of  $SL(d)$ -images of  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_o(x_d)$  and by  $\mathcal{R}_o^d$  the set of  $SL(d)$ -images of  $R \in \mathcal{R}_o^d(x_d)$ . We need the following results.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $z : \mathcal{P}_o^d \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^d$  be a measurable valuation such that (1) holds. If  $z$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{Q}_o^d$  and  $q > -1$ , then  $z = o$  for every  $R \in \mathcal{R}_o^d$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R = [P', s u, t v]$  where  $P' \in \mathcal{P}_o^{d-1}$ ,  $u = \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v = \begin{pmatrix} v' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $u', v' \in \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  and  $s, t > 0$ . Since  $z$  is a valuation, we have for  $0 < t < t'$  and  $t'' > 0$  suitably small

$$z([P', s u, t v]) + z([P', -t'' v, t' v]) = z([P', s u, t' v]) + z([P', -t'' v, t v]).$$

Since  $[P', -t'' v, t' v], [P', -t'' v, t v] \in \mathcal{Q}_o^d$  and since  $z$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{Q}_o^d$ , this implies that  $z([P', s u, t v])$  does not depend on  $t > 0$ . A similar argument shows that it does not depend on  $s > 0$ . Thus

$$z([P', s u, t v]) = z([P', u, v]) \quad (38)$$

for  $s, t > 0$ .

For  $P'$  fixed, set  $f(u', v') = z([P', u, v])$ . Since  $z$  is a valuation, we have for  $r > 0$  suitably small

$$z([P', u, v]) + z([P', -r e, r e]) = z([P', u, r e]) + z([P', -r e, v])$$

where  $e = \begin{pmatrix} o' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . By (38) this implies that

$$f(u', v') + f(o', o') = f(u', o') + f(o', v'). \quad (39)$$

Note that since  $[P', -r e, r e] \in \mathcal{Q}_o^d$ , we have  $f(o', o') = 0$ . Let

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & u_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & u_{d-1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

Then  $\phi \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} o' \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\phi \begin{pmatrix} v' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u'+v' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = w$ . Since (1) holds, this implies that

$$z_d([P', u, v]) = z_d([\phi P', \phi u, \phi v]) = z_d([P', -e, w])$$

and

$$f_d(u', v') = f_d(o', u' + v'). \quad (41)$$

Note that

$$z_i([P', -e, w]) = z_i([P, u, v]) + u_i z_d([P', u, v]) \quad (42)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ . Set  $g_d(u') = f_d(o', u')$ . Then we get by (39) and (41) that

$$g_d(u' + v') = g_d(u') + g_d(v').$$

This is one of Cauchy's functional equations. Since  $z$  is measurable, there is a  $w'(P') \in \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  such that

$$z_d(R) = z_d([P', u, v]) = w'(P') \cdot (u' + v') \quad (43)$$

for every  $u', v' \in \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$ .

Using this we obtain the following. By (1),  $z_d$  is homogeneous of degree  $dq+1$ . Since we know by (38) that  $z([rP', ru, rv]) = z([tP', u, v])$  for  $r > 0$ , this and (43) imply that

$$w'(rP') = r^{dq+1}w'(P'). \quad (44)$$

On the other hand, let  $\psi \in GL(d)$  be the map that multiplies the first  $(d-1)$  coordinates with  $r$  and the last coordinate with 1. Then  $z_d(\psi R) = r^{(d-1)q}z_d(R)$  and by (43) this implies that

$$w'(rP') = r^{(d-1)q-1}w'(P').$$

Since  $q > -1$ , this combined with (44) shows that  $w'(P') = o'$ . Thus by (43),  $z_d(R) = 0$ .

Using this and (42) we obtain by the same arguments as for  $i = d$  that there are  $w'_{(i)}(P') \in \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  such that

$$z_i(R) = z_i([P', u, v]) = w'_{(i)}(P') \cdot (u' + v')$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ . As in (44) we have

$$w'_{(i)}(rP') = r^{dq+1}w'_{(i)}(P')$$

and using  $\psi$  shows that

$$w'_{(i)}(rP') = r^{(d-1)q}w'_{(i)}(P').$$

Since  $q > -1$ , this shows that  $w'_{(i)}(P') = o'$  and  $z_i(R) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4 ([8]).** *Let  $\mu : \mathcal{P}_o^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a valuation. If  $\mu$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{R}_o^d$ , then  $\mu(P) = 0$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ .*

If  $q \neq 1$ , (35) holds. Therefore by Lemmas 3 and 4 we obtain  $z(P) = o$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ . This proves Theorem 2 in this case. If  $q = 1$ , (37) holds. We apply Lemmas 3 and 4 to  $w(P) = z(P) - am(P)$  and obtain that  $w(P) = o$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ . Thus  $z(P) = am(P)$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2 for  $q > -1$ .

## 2.2 Proof of Theorem 3 for $q \leq -1$

1. We begin by proving Theorem 3 for  $q \leq -1$  and  $d = 2$ . Define

$$w(P) = \psi_{\pi/2} z(P),$$

where

$$\psi_{\pi/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $w : \mathcal{P}_o^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable valuation. Since  $z$  transforms according to (2), we have

$$w(\phi P) = |\det \phi|^{-q} \psi_{\pi/2} \phi^{-t} \psi_{\pi/2}^{-1} w(P) = |\det \phi|^{-q-1} \phi w(P)$$

for every  $\phi \in GL(2)$ . Thus  $w$  transforms according to (1) with  $p = -q - 1$ . Applying Theorem 1 for  $p \geq 0$  and  $d = 2$  gives the following. For  $q \neq -2$ , we have  $w(P) = o$  and

$$z(P) = o$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^2$ . For  $q = -2$ , there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $w(P) = c m(P)$  and

$$z(P) = c \psi_{\pi/2}^{-1} m(P)$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^2$ . This proves Theorem 3 for  $q \leq -1$  and  $d = 2$ .

**2.** Now let  $d \geq 3$ . We use induction on the dimension  $d$ . Suppose that Theorem 3 is true for  $q \leq -1$  in dimension  $(d - 1)$ .

For  $I$  fixed, define  $z' : \mathcal{P}_o^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{P}_o^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by (32) and (33). Then  $z'$  and  $\mu$  are measurable valuations on  $\mathcal{P}_o^{d-1}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2 we have

$$z'(\phi' P') = |\det \phi'^{-t}|^q \phi'^{-t} z'(P') \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\phi' P') = |\det \phi'^{-t}|^q \mu(P') \quad (45)$$

for every  $\phi' \in GL(d - 1)$ .

Let  $q \leq -1$ ,  $q \neq -2$ . Theorem 3 for  $q \leq -1$  in dimension  $(d - 1)$  implies that  $z'(P') = o'$ . If  $q < -1$ , then Theorem 1 implies that  $\mu(P') = 0$ . If  $q = -1$ , then we obtain that  $\mu(P') = c V_{d-1}(P'^*)$  and  $z_d([P', I]) = c(I) V_{d-1}(P'^*)$ . We take  $Q = [I_1, \dots, I_d]$ , where  $I_j$  is an interval on the  $x_j$ -axis containing the origin in its interior, and  $\phi \in SL(d)$  that interchanges the first and last coordinates, and obtain from (2) that we have  $c(I) = 0$ . Thus we get for  $q \leq -1$ ,  $q \neq -2$

$$z(Q) = o \quad (46)$$

for  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_o(x_d)$ .

Let  $q = -2$ . If  $d = 3$ , then  $z'(P') = c \psi_{\pi/2}^{-1} m(P')$  and  $\mu(P') = 0$ . Let  $Q$  and  $\phi$  be defined as before. Then (2) shows that  $c = 0$ . Therefore (46) holds. The same argument as for  $q \neq -2$  now implies that (46) holds for  $d \geq 3$ .

We need the following result.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $z : \mathcal{P}_o^d \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^d$  be a measurable valuation such that (2) holds. If  $z$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{Q}_o^d$  and  $q \leq -1$ , then  $z(R) = o$  for every  $R \in \mathcal{R}_o^d$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R = [P', s u, t v]$  where  $P' \in \mathcal{P}_o^{d-1}$ ,  $u = \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v = \begin{pmatrix} v' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $u', v' \in \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  and  $s, t > 0$ . We use notation and results from Lemma 3. We have by (38) that

$$z([P', s u, t v]) = z([P', u, v]) \quad (47)$$

for  $s, t > 0$ , and by (39)

$$f(u', v') = f(u', o') + f(o', v'). \quad (48)$$

where  $P'$  is fixed and  $f(u', v') = z([P', u, v])$ . Let  $\phi$  be as in (40). Then  $\phi\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} o' \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\phi\begin{pmatrix} v' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u'+v' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = w$ , and by (2),

$$z_i([P', u, v]) = z_i([\phi P', \phi u, \phi v]) = z_i([P', -e, w])$$

and

$$f_i(u', v') = f_i(o', u' + v') \quad (49)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ . Note that

$$z_d([P', -e, w]) = -u_1 z_1([P', u, v]) - \dots - u_{d-1} z_{d-1}([P', u, v]) + z_d([P', u, v]). \quad (50)$$

Set  $g_i(u') = f_i(o', u')$ . Then we get by (48) and (49) that

$$g_i(u' + v') = g_i(u') + g_i(v').$$

These are equations of Cauchy's type. Since  $z$  is measurable, there are  $w'_{(i)}(P') \in \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  such that

$$z_i(R) = z_i([P', u, v]) = w'_{(i)}(P') \cdot (u' + v') \quad (51)$$

for every  $u', v' \in \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ .

Using this we obtain for every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ , the following. By (2),  $z_i$  is homogeneous of degree  $-(dq+1)$ . Since we know by (47) that  $z([r P', r u, r v]) = z([t P', u, v])$  for  $r > 0$ , this and (51) imply that

$$w'_{(i)}(r P') = r^{-(dq+1)} w'_{(i)}(P'). \quad (52)$$

On the other hand, let  $\psi \in GL(d)$  be the map that multiplies the first  $(d-1)$  coordinates by  $r$  and the last coordinate by 1. Then  $z_i(\psi R) = r^{-((d-1)q+1)} z_i(R)$ , and by (51)

$$w'_{(i)}(r P') = r^{-((d-1)q+2)} w'_{(i)}(P').$$

Since  $q \leq -1$ , this combined with (52) shows that  $w'_{(i)}(P') = o'$ . Thus by (51),  $z_i(R) = 0$ .

Using this and (50), we get  $z_d([P', u, v]) = z_d([P', -e, w])$ . The same argument as for  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$  shows that there is a  $w'(P') \in \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$  such that

$$z_d(R) = z_d([P', u, v]) = w'(P') \cdot (u' + v') \quad (53)$$

for every  $u', v' \in \mathbb{E}^{d-1}$ . Note that (52) hold for  $i = d$ . Let  $\psi$  be defined as before. Then  $z_d(\psi R) = r^{-(d-1)q} z_d(R)$ , and by (53)

$$w'_{(i)}(r P') = r^{-((d-1)q+1)} w'_{(i)}(P').$$

Since  $q \leq -1$ , this combined with (52) shows that  $z_d(R) = 0$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We apply Lemmas 5 and 4 and obtain that  $z(P) = o$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_o^d$ . This proves Theorem 3 for  $q \leq -1$ .

## References

- [1] S. Alesker, *Continuous valuations on convex sets*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **8** (1998), 402–409.
- [2] S. Alesker, *Description of continuous isometry covariant valuations on convex sets*, *Geom. Dedicata* **74** (1999), 241–248.
- [3] P.M. Gruber, *The space of convex bodies*, *Handbook of Convex Geometry*, Vol. A (P.M. Gruber and J. Wills, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993, 301–318.
- [4] H. Hadwiger, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie*, Springer, Berlin, 1957.
- [5] H. Hadwiger and R. Schneider, *Vektorielle Integralgeometrie*, *Elem. Math.* **26** (1971), 49–57.
- [6] D. Klain, *A short proof of Hadwiger’s characterization theorem*, *Mathematika* **42** (1995), 329–339.
- [7] D. Klain and G. Rota, *Introduction to geometric probability*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [8] M. Ludwig, *Valuations on polytopes containing the origin in their interiors*, *Adv. Math.*, to appear.
- [9] P. McMullen, *Valuations and dissections*, *Handbook of Convex Geometry*, Vol. B (P.M. Gruber and J. Wills, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993, 933–990.
- [10] P. McMullen, *Isometry covariant valuations on convex bodies*, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) Suppl. (1997), no. 50, 259–271.
- [11] P. McMullen and R. Schneider, *Valuations on convex bodies*, *Convexity and its applications* (P.M. Gruber and J. Wills, eds.), Birkhäuser, 1983, 170–247.
- [12] R. Schneider, *On Steiner points of convex bodies*, *Israel J. Math.* **9** (1971), 241–249.
- [13] R. Schneider, *Krümmungsschwerpunkte konvexer Körper. I*, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **37** (1972), 112–132.
- [14] R. Schneider, *Krümmungsschwerpunkte konvexer Körper. II*, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **37** (1972), 204–217.
- [15] R. Schneider, *Volumen und Schwerpunkt von Polyedern*, *Elem. Math.* **28** (1973), 137–141.
- [16] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn–Minkowski theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [17] R. Schneider, *Tensor valuations on convex bodies and integral geometry*, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) Suppl. (2000), no. 65, part I, 295–316.
- [18] R. Schneider and W. Weil, *Integralgeometrie*, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1992.

*Current address:*  
Mathematisches Institut  
Universität Bern  
Sidlerstrasse 5  
3012 Bern, Switzerland  
monika.ludwig@math-stat.unibe.ch

*Permanent address:*  
Abteilung für Analysis  
Technische Universität Wien  
Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10/1142  
1040 Wien, Austria  
monika.ludwig@tuwien.ac.at