Existence over a predicate Conference in honor of Saharon Shelah's 80th birthday Vienna July 2025



Itay Kaplan

Einstein Institute of Mathematics Hebrew University of Jerusalem Israel





An innocent question

Throughout this talk, L is a countable first-order language. Suppose that T is an L-complete theory with a predicate P. Let $\mathfrak C$ be a monster model for T. The *induced* structure on P is the structure $P(\mathfrak C)_{\text{ind}}$ whose universe is $P(\mathfrak C)$ with the language L_P consisting of a relation $R_{\phi(x)}$ for each L-formula $\phi(x)$, where

 $R_{\phi(x)}^{P_{\text{ind}}} = \{a \in P(\mathfrak{C})^x \mid \mathfrak{C} \vDash \phi(a)\}$. Let T_P be the complete theory of $P(\mathfrak{C})_{\text{ind}}$ in the language L_P . Clearly, if $M \vDash T$ then $P(M)_{\text{ind}}$ is an L_P -structure and $P(M)_{\text{ind}} \vDash T_P$.

Question (Existence)

Suppose that $N \models T_P$, is there some $M \models T$ such that $P(M)_{ind} = N$?

Example

Let $T = \text{Th}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, <)$ where P is a predicate for the rationals. Then T_P is essentially DLO and $(\mathbb{R}, <) \models T_P$. However, there is no model $M \models T$ such that $P(M) = (\mathbb{R}, <)$.

Stability, NIP, and existence

If T is stable, then the answer to the existence question over P is positive (using the density of locally isolated types). Similarly, if T is NIP and P is stable (equivalently T_P is stable), then the answer to the existence question is positive (using density of compressible types, [BKS25]).

Instead of assuming stability of *P*, what if we assume just that *P* is stably embedded in *T*?

Definition

P is (uniformly) *stably embedded* in *T* if for $a \in \mathfrak{C}$, the $\operatorname{tp}(a/P)$ is definable. In other words, for every formula $\phi(x,y)$, there is a formula $\psi(y,z)$ such that for every $a \in \mathfrak{C}^x$, there is some $d \in P^y$ such that $\phi(a,P) = \psi(P,d)$.

Question

Suppose that *P* is stably embedded. Does existence over *P* hold? What if we assume that *T* is NIP?

A counterexample

The answer to both questions is negative.

If N is a countable model of T_P , then the existence property holds by the omitting types theorem. A slightly more complicated argument, still using the omitting type theorem shows that the same is true if N is of size $\leq \aleph_1$. So any counterexample must be of size at least \aleph_2 .

Example (Hrushovski)

Let $L = \{H, C, Q, f, g\}$ where H, C, Q are unary predicates and f, g are unary function symbols, and let T be the model completion of: H is the universe, $f: [H]^2 \to C$ with no homogeneous triple and $g: H \to Q$. Let $P = Q \cup C$. Then, P is stably embedded and the induced structure $P(\mathfrak{C})_{\text{ind}}$ is just equality. However, by Erdös-Rado, we cannot find a model $M \models T$ such that $|H(M)| = \aleph_0$ and $|Q(M)| = (2^{\aleph_0})^+$.

This example is not NIP, and not simple but is $NSOP_1$.

Question

What if we assume that *T* is simple?

An NIP example

Here is a counterexample to the existence question over a stably embedded predicate in an NIP theory. Let $L = \{R, S, K, H, C, f, h, c, \land, \leq\}$ where R, S, K, H, C are unary predicates, and $f: R \times R \to K$, $h: R \to H$, and $c: S \to C$ are functions. On R and S there is a structure of a meet tree with a predicate for the top S. On H there is a linear order, and h is increasing: $x < y \Rightarrow h(x) < h(y)$ in the tree. The function f satisfies: it is only defined on pairs where x < y and if x < y < z then f(x, y) = f(x, z), and: if $a \ne b$ in P are not comparable then $f(a \wedge b, a) \neq f(a \wedge b, b)$. On the function c there are no restrictions. Let T be the model companion of this theory. Let $P = C \cup H \cup K$. The induced structure on P is just equality on C, K and the (dense without endpoints) order on H. Now, if N is a model of the theory of the predicate, where $|K| = |H| = \aleph_0$ and $|C| = (2^{\aleph_0})^+$, then S^N has size $> 2^{\aleph_0}$. We can put a coloring on pairs of distinct elements from S. The color of a pair $\{a, b\}$ is the pair $(h(a \land b), \{f(a \land b, a), f(a \land b, b)\})$ (consisting of an element from H together with a pair of elements from K). Since there are only countably many colors, by Erdös-Rado there is a homogeneous set of size 3: a, b, c. Since $h(a \wedge b) = h(a \wedge c) = h(c \wedge b)$ and h is a height function, we can let $m := a \land b = a \land c = b \land c$. Then f(m, a) is in $\{f(m,b),f(m,c)\}\$, and in either case we get a contradiction to the condition on f.

The Gaifman conjecture

Let us move to positive results, starting with a conjecture of Gaifman and a theorem of Shelah.

Definition

T is relatively categorical with respect to *P* if whenever $M, N \models T$ and $\sigma : P(M) \rightarrow P(N)$ is an isomorphism (as *L*-substructures) then σ extends to an isomorphism from M to N.

Conjecture (Gaifman, 1974, [Gai74])

Let T be a complete theory with a predicate P defining a substructure. If T is relatively categorical with respect to P, then the answer to the existence question is positive.

Theorem (Shelah, 1986, [She86])

A slightly weaker version of the Gaifman conjecture holds: if T is countable and absolutely relatively categorical, meaning that it remains relatively categorical even in generic extension of the set-theoretic universe, then the answer to the existence question is positive.

The proof of this theorem has two highly non-trivial ingredients: a structure side and a non-structure side.

P-niceness

Let *T* be a complete theory in a countable language *L*.

Let *P* be a stably embedded predicate. Write P^{eq} for $dcl^{eq}(P)$.

For a set A, let $P(A) := P^{eq} \cap \operatorname{acl}^{eq}(A)$.

Definition

T is P-niceTM if for any b and b-indiscernible sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Q}}$, $P(ba_0) \subseteq P(P(ba_{<0}) \cup P(a_{<0}))$.

Roughly, the idea is that the predicate behaves nicely with respect to algebraic closure.

Theorem (Bays, K., Simon)

If T is P-nice, then the answer to the existence question is positive.

Theorem (Bays, K., Simon)

Every Rosy theory is P-nice for every stably embedded predicate P, and thus the same is true for all simple theories.

Thank you! Happy 80th birthday, Saharon! May you live to be 130!

Bibliography I



Martin Bays, Itay Kaplan, and Pierre Simon.

Density of compressible types and some consequences.

J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 27(7):2751-2793, 2025.



Haim Gaifman.

Operations on relational structures, functors and classes. I.

In Proceedings of the Tarski Symposium (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXV, Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1971), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXV, pages 21–39. Published for the Association for Symbolic Logic by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1974.



Saharon Shelah.

Classification over a predicate. II.

In Around classification theory of models, volume 1182 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 47–90. Springer, Berlin, 1986.