We study first order linear partial differential equations that appear, for example, in the analysis of diminishing urn models with the help of the method of characteristics and formulate sufficient conditions for a central limit theorem.

1. Introduction and Main result

The purpose of this paper is to study solutions $H(z, w)$ of special first order linear partial differential equations that appear in the analysis of diminishing urn models. Hwang, Kuba, and Panholzer [3] have analyzed several examples and observed completely different behaviors of the solutions although the corresponding equations look very similar from first sight.

More precisely, we consider a Pólya-Eggenberger urn model with two kinds of balls, transition matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, and an absorbing state $S \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, usually the $y$-axis $S = \{(0, n) : n \geq 0\}$.

Suppose that the process starts at $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 1$ and let $h_{n,m}(v) = \mathbb{E}[v^{X_{n,m}}]$ denote the probability generating function of the random variable $X_{n,m} = n_0$ that describes the position $(0, n_0)$ of the absorbing state in $S$ when the process starts at $(m, n)$.

By definition the probability generating functions $h_{n,m}(v)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$h_{n,m}(v) = \frac{n}{n+m}h_{n+a,m+c}(v) + \frac{m}{n+m}h_{n+c,m+d}(v).$$

By setting $H(z, w; v) = \sum_{n \geq 0, m \geq 1} h_{n,m}(v)z^n w^m$, it follows that the generating function $H(z, w; v)$ satisfies the partial differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad z(1-z^{-a}w^{-b})H_z + w(1-z^{-c}w^{-d})H_w + (az^{-a}w^{-b} + dz^{-c}w^{-d})H = F(z, w)$$

with some inhomogeneous part $F(z, w)$ that given by the boundary values which are partly unknown (for example $H(0, w; v)$, see [3]).

It turns out that in several cases it is more convenient to study the generating function

$$H(z, w; v) = \sum_{n \geq 0, m \geq 1} \binom{n+m}{m}h_{n,m}(v)z^n w^m$$

that (also) satisfies a first order linear partial differential equation of the form

$$(1.2) \quad A(z, w)H_z + B(z, w)H_w - C(z, w)H = D(z, w; v),$$

with proper functions $A(z, w), B(z, w), C(z, w), D(z, w; v)$. (In the examples below $A(z, w), B(z, w)$, and $C(z, w)$ are polynomials.) The advantage of this form is that the unknown boundary conditions are not needed since they cancel in the equation. For the sake of simplicity we will focus only on this case. Nevertheless the methods that we are developing below are also suitable to deal with equations of the form (1.1).

Note that by definition

$$H(z, 0; v) = 0$$
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Furthermore, if \( v = 1 \) then \( h_{n,m}(1) = 1 \) so that
\[
H(z, w; 1) = \frac{1}{1 - z - w} - \frac{1}{1 - z}.
\]
This means that \( D(z, w; 1) \) is determined by
\[
D(z, w; 1) = \frac{A(z, w) + B(z, w) - (1 - z - w)C(z, w)}{(1 - z - w)^2} - \frac{A(z, w) - (1 - z)C(z, w)}{(1 - z)^2}
\]
In the present context it is convenient to assume that the function \( H_0(z, w) = \sum_{n,m \geq 0} (\frac{n+m}{m}) z^n w^m = 1/(1 - z - w) \) is a solution of the homogeneous differential equation \( A(z, w)H_z + B(z, w)H_w - C(z, w)H = 0 \) so that
\[
A(z, w) + B(z, w) = (1 - z - w)C(z, w)
\]
and,
\[
D(z, w; 1) = -\frac{A(z, w) - (1 - z)C(z, w)}{(1 - z)^2}
\]
We first state the following three examples from [3] (that we present in a slightly modified way).

**Example 1.** The pill’s problem (see [1, 5]) has transition matrix \( M = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \) and absorbing state \( S = \{(0, n) : n \geq 0\} \), and the corresponding differential equation is given by
\[
(z - z^2 - w)H_z + w(1 - z)H_w - zH = \frac{wv}{(1 - vz)^2}.
\]
Here it follows that \( h_{n,m}(v) \) is given by
\[
h_{n,m}(v) = mv \int_0^1 (1 + (v - 1)q)^n (1 - q - (v - 1)q \log q)^{m-1} dq.
\]
Finally the corresponding random variable \( X_{m, n} \) has limiting distribution
\[
\frac{X_{m, n}}{w + \log m} \to X \quad (m \to \infty),
\]
where \( X \) has density \( e^{-x} \), \( x \geq 0 \), or
\[
\frac{X_{m, n}}{n} \to \text{Beta}(1, m) \quad \text{(fixed } m \geq 1, n \to \infty),
\]
where (the beta distribution) \( \text{Beta}(1, m) \) has density \( m(1 - x)^{m-1}, 0 \leq x \leq 1 \).

**Example 2.** A variant of the pill’s problem has transition matrix \( M = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \) and absorbing state \( S = \{(0, n) : n \geq 0\} \cup \{(1, n) : n \geq 0\} \). Due to the parity condition in \( m \) (that is, only even \( m \) occur), it is convenient to consider the generating function
\[
H(z, w; v) = \sum_{n \geq 0, m \geq 1} \left( \frac{n + 2m}{n} \right) h_{n, 2m}(v) z^n w^m
\]
that satisfies the differential equation
\[
-wH_z + 2w(1 - z)H_w - (1 - z)H = \frac{wv}{(1 - vz)^2}.
\]
Here we obtain an explicit solution of the form
\[
H(z, w) = \frac{w}{v ((1 - z)^2 - w - ((v - 1)/v))^2 (1 - z - (v - 1)/v)} + \frac{(v - 1)\sqrt{w}}{v^2 ((1 - z)^2 - w - ((v - 1)/v))^2} \sqrt{\frac{w}{v}} \sqrt{(1 - z)^2 - w - ((v - 1)/v)} \text{arctan} \left( \frac{\sqrt{w} \sqrt{(1 - z)^2 - w - ((v - 1)/v)}}{(1 - z)^2 - w - (1 - z)(v - 1)/v} \right).
\]
which leads to the limiting behavior:
\[
\frac{X_{n,2m}}{\sqrt{m} + 2\sqrt{m}} \to R \quad (m \to \infty),
\]
where \( R \) has density \( 2xe^{-x^2}, x \geq 0 \), or
\[
\frac{X_{n,2m}}{n} \to \sqrt{\text{Beta}(1,m)}, \quad (m \geq 1 \text{ fixed}, n \to \infty).
\]

**Example 3.** The cannibal urn (see [7]) has transition matrix \( M = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \) and absorbing state \( S = \{ (0,n) : n \geq 0 \} \cup \{ (1,n) : n \geq 0 \} \) and the generating function
\[
H(z,w;v) = \sum_{n \geq 0, m \geq 1} \binom{n+m}{n} h_{n+1,m}(v)
\]
satisfies the differential equation
\[
-(z+w)H_z + H_w - H = \frac{(1+wv)w}{(1-wz)^2}.
\]
The solution is explicitly given by
\[
H(z,w;v) = \frac{ve^w}{1-(1-e^w(1-z-w))v} - \frac{v}{1-vz}
\]
and we have a central limit theorem of the form
\[
\frac{X_{n,m}}{\sqrt{\text{Var}X_{n,m}}} \to N(0,1) \quad (m+n \to \infty).
\]

These three examples show that although the linear differential equations look very similar the limiting behavior of the encoded random variable \( X_{n,m} \) seems to be far away of being universal. The main purpose of the present paper is to shed some light on this phenomenon. In particular we detect a sufficient condition that ensures a central limit theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that \( X_{n,m}, n \geq 0, m \geq 1 \) are non-negative discrete random variables with probability generating function \( h_{n,m}(v) = \mathbb{E}[v^{X_{n,m}}] \) such that the generating function \( H(z,w;v) \), given by (1.2) satisfies a first order linear differential equation of the form (1.3), where the coefficient functions \( A,B,C \) as well as the ratios \( A/B, C/B \) are regular in an open set that contains \( z,w \) with \( |z| + |w| \leq 1 \). Furthermore we assume that (1.5) is satisfied (which also implies (1.6)) and that \( D(z,w;v) \) can be represented as
\[
D(z,w;v) = \frac{a(z,w;v)}{(1-b(z,w;v))^2},
\]
where the functions \( a,b \) are also in an open set that contains \( z,w \) with \( |z| + |w| \leq 1 \). In particular in accordance with (1.5) we have \( a(z,w;1) = -A(z,w) + (1-z)C(z,w) \) and \( b(z,w;1) = z \).

Finally we assume that the ratio \( A(z,w)/B(z,w) \) is negative for non-negative \( z,w \) and that the function \( f(Q(z,w),s) \) is regular in an open set that contains \( s,z,w \) with \( |z| + |w| \leq 1 \) and \( |s| \leq 1 \) and non-decreasing for positive and real \( z \) and \( w \), where \( f(c,s) \) is the solution of the differential equation
\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = A(f,s)/B(f,s) \quad \text{with } f(c,0) = c \quad \text{and } \|
\]
and \( Q(z,w), w \) satisfies \( f(Q(z,w),w) = z \).

Let \( z_0(\rho;v) \) and \( w_0(\rho;v) \) denote the solutions of the system of equations
\[
b(f(Q(z,w),0),0;v) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} b(f(Q(z,w),0),0;v) = \rho w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} h(f(Q(z,w),0),0;v)
\]
with \( z_0(\rho;1) = \rho/(1+\rho) \) and \( w_0(\rho;1) = 1/(1+\rho) \). Furthermore set \( h(\rho;v) = -\log z_0(\rho;v) - \rho \log w_0(\rho;v), \mu(\rho) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} h(\rho;v)|_{\rho=1} \) and \( \sigma^2(\rho) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} h(\rho;v)|_{\rho=1} + \mu. \) If
\[
\mu(\rho) > 0 \quad \text{for } \rho \in [\alpha, \beta]
\]
for some positive $\alpha, \beta$ then $X_{n,m}$ satisfies a central limit theorem of the form
\[ \frac{X_{n,m} - \mathbb{E}X_{n,m}}{\sqrt{n}} \to N(0, \sigma^2(m/n)) \]
uniformly for $m + n \to \infty$, $m/n \in [\alpha, \beta]$, where
\[ \mathbb{E}X_{n,m} \sim \mu(m/n)n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}X_{n,m} \sim \sigma^2(m/n)n. \]

This theorem does not provide a full answer to the problem. However, it is a first step that covers at least a part, where we obtain a central limit theorem. In future work we will provide a more complete picture, also covering the cases, where there is no central limit theorem.

Nevertheless, we will discuss the three examples (from above) and another one in the next section. We also present a (short version of the) proof of Theorem 1 in the remaining parts of the paper.

2. Discussion of Examples

We do not work out the details here but in Examples 1 and 2 several conditions of Theorem 1 are not satisfied, in particular we have $\mu(\rho) = 0$.

The most interesting example is Example 3. Here we have $A(z, w) = -z - w$, $B(z, w) = C(z, w) = 1$, and $D(z, w; v) = (1+vw)v/(1-vz)^2$, that is $a(z, w; v) = (1+vw)v$ and $b(z, w; v) = vz$. It is easy to check that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied.

In particular it follows that $f(c, s) = 1 - s - e^{-s}(1-c)$, $Q(z, w) = 1 - e^w(1 - z - w)$, and $f(Q(z, w), s) = 1 - s - e^{w-s}(1 - z - w)$. From that we obtain $b(f(Q(z, w), 0; v)) = (1 - e^w(1 - z - w))v$. Hence the functions $z = z_0(\rho; v)$ and $w = w_0(\rho; v)$ satisfy the system of equations
\[ (1 - e^w(1 - z - w))v = 1, \quad z = \rho w(z + w) \]
from which we obtain (by implicit differentiation)
\[ \mu(\rho) = \frac{z_0(\rho; 1)}{z_0(\rho; 1)} - \rho \frac{w_0(\rho; 1)}{w_0(\rho; 1)} = 2e^{-1/(1+\rho)} > 0. \]

Thus, the central limit theorem follows automatically.

We add a new example in order to demonstrate the applicability of Theorem 1. It is clear that this example can be generalized. Suppose that $H(z, w; z)$ satisfies the differential equation
\[ -(z + 2w)H_z + (1 + w)H_w - H = \frac{(1+2w)v}{(1-vz)^2}. \]
Then again all assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Here we have $A(z, w) = -z - 2w$, $B(z, w) = 1 + w$, $C(z, w) = 1$, and $D(z, w; v) = (1+2w)v/(1-vz)^2$, that is $a(z, w; v) = (1+2w)v$ and $b(z, w; v) = vz$.

From this it follows that
\[ f(c, s) = \frac{c}{1 + s} - \frac{s^2}{1 + s} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(z, w) = (1 + w)z + w^2 \]
and consequently
\[ f(Q(z, w), s) = \frac{(1 + w)z + w^2 - s^2}{1 + s}. \]
The functions $z = z_0(\rho; v)$ and $w = w_0(\rho; v)$ satisfy the system of equations
\[ ((1 + w)z + w^2)v = 1, \quad z(1 + w) = \rho w(z + 2w) \]
from which we obtain (by implicit differentiation)
\[ \mu(\rho) = \frac{z_0(\rho; 1)}{z_0(\rho; 1)} - \rho \frac{w_0(\rho; 1)}{w_0(\rho; 1)} = 2\frac{(1 + \rho)^2}{(2 + \rho)^2} > 0. \]

Thus, the central limit theorem follows (again) automatically.
3. The method of characteristics

We start with the equation inhomogeneous differential equation (1.3), where \( v \) is considered as a parameter. It is a standard procedure to transform (1.3) into a homogeneous equation. Let \( Q = Q(z, w, H; v) \) denote the solution of the linear differential equation

\[
A(z, w)Q_z + B(z, w)Q_w + (C(z, w)H + D(z, w; v))Q_H = 0.
\]

Then the solution \( H(z, w; v) \) of the original equation (1.3) satisfies the implicit equation

\[
Q(z, w, H(z, w; v); v) = \text{const}.
\]

Thus, if we can solve (3.1) then we also get the solution of (1.3). The advantage of the equation (3.1) is that it can be handled with the method of characteristics (see [4]).

First we translate (3.1) into a system of first order ordinary differential equations:

\[
\frac{dz}{dt} = A(z, w), \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = B(z, w), \quad \frac{dH}{dt} = C(z, w)H + D(z, w; v),
\]

where \( z = z(t), w = w(t), H = H(t) \) are functions in \( t \). A characteristic of (3.3) is a function \( F(z, w, H) \) for which we have \( Q(z(t), w(t), H(t)) = \text{const} \). Clearly, every characteristic \( Q \) is a solution of (3.1). It is well known that a system of three equations has two independent characteristics \( Q_1, Q_2 \) as a basis and every characteristic \( Q \) can be expressed as \( Q = F(Q_1, Q_2) \) for an arbitrary (differentiable) function \( F \). In the present case we have to solve the equation (3.2) which simplifies the situation. More precisely we can rewrite (3.2) to an equation of the form

\[
Q_2(z, w, H) = \tilde{F}(Q_1(z, w, H)),
\]

where \( \tilde{F} \) is an arbitrary (differentiable) function.

In order to calculate two independent characteristics it is convenient to eliminate \( t \) from the system (3.3) which gives rise to a simpler system of differential equation:

\[
\frac{dz}{dw} = \frac{A(z, w)}{B(z, w)}, \quad \frac{dH}{dw} = \frac{C(z, w)}{B(z, w)}H + \frac{D(z, w; v)}{B(z, w)},
\]

where \( z = z(w) \) and \( H = H(w) \) are now considered as functions of \( w \).

Let \( z = f(c_1, w) \) be a one-parametric solution of the differential equation \( \frac{dz}{dw} = \frac{A(z, w)}{B(z, w)} \), where \( c_1 \) is, for example, the initial value \( c_1 = z(0) \). If we express \( c_1 \) from the expression \( z = f(c_1, w) \), that is, \( c_1 = Q_1(z, w) \) then \( Q_1 \) is a characteristic of the system (3.3). Note that \( Q_1 \) does not depend on \( H \) and also not on \( v \). Actually it is just solves the equation \( A(z, w)Q_z + B(z, w)Q_w = 0 \). Nevertheless is a non-trivial characteristic of (3.3).

In order to obtain a second characteristic we have to solve the second equation of (3.5) which is a first order linear differential equation. Note that we can substitute \( z = f(c_1, w) \) and obtain as a solution

\[
H = \exp \left( \int_0^w \frac{C(f(c_1, s), s)}{B(f(c_1, s), s)} ds \right) \left( \int_0^w \frac{D(f(c_1, s), s; v)}{B(f(c_1, s), s)} \exp \left( - \int_0^s \frac{C(f(c_1, t), t)}{B(f(c_1, t), t)} dt \right) ds + c_2 \right),
\]

where \( c_2 \) is some constant. Again if we express \( c_2 \) explicitly (and eliminate \( c_1 \) with the help of \( c_1 = Q_1(z, w) \)) we get another characteristic:

\[
c_2 = Q_2(z, w, H)
\]

\[
= H \exp \left( - \int_0^w \frac{C(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s)}{B(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s)} ds \right)
- \int_0^w \frac{D(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s; v)}{B(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s)} \exp \left( - \int_0^s \frac{C(f(Q_1(z, w), t), t)}{B(f(Q_1(z, w), t), t)} dt \right) ds.
\]

Now if we apply (3.4) we obtain the following representation for \( H \):

\[
H = \exp \left( \int_0^w \frac{C(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s)}{B(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s)} ds \right) \times \left( \int_0^w \frac{D(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s; v)}{B(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s)} \exp \left( - \int_0^s \frac{C(f(Q_1(z, w), t), t)}{B(f(Q_1(z, w), t), t)} dt \right) ds + \tilde{F}(Q_1(z, w)) \right).
\]
In our context we will assume that (1.4) holds, that is, \( H(z, 0; v) = 0 \). This implies that \( \tilde{F}(x) = 0 \). Consequently we have

\[
H(z, w; v) = \exp \left( \int_0^w \frac{C(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s)}{B(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s)} ds \right) \times \left( \int_0^w \frac{D(f((Q_1(z, w), s), v)}{B(f((Q_1(z, w), s), s)} \exp \left( -\int_0^s \frac{C(f((Q_1(z, w), t), t)}{B(f((Q_1(z, w), t), t)} dt \right) ds \right).
\]

### 4. Singularity Analysis

Next we assume that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied so that we can analyze the analytic properties of the solution function \( H(z, w; v) \) that is given by (3.6). Actually we will show that if \( v \) is close to 1 that the dominant singularity comes a curve that is a perturbation of the curve \( z + w = 1 \).

First we note that by assumption the function \( f(Q_1(z, w), s) \) is regular as well as the fraction \( C/B \). Consequently the function

\[
(z, w) \mapsto K(z, w) = \int_0^w \frac{C(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s)}{B(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s)} ds
\]
is regular, too. Thus, it remains to consider the integral

\[
\int_0^w \frac{D(f((Q_1(z, w), s), s; v)}{B(f((Q_1(z, w), s), s)} \exp (-K(z, s)) ds
\]
\[
= \int_0^w a(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s; v) \exp (-K(z, s)) / B(f((Q_1(z, w), s), s)
\]
\[
(1 - b(f(Q_1(z, w), s), s; v))^2 ds.
\]

First let us assume that \( v = 1 \). In this case we know by assumption that \( H(z, w; 1) = 1/(1-z-w) - 1/(1-z) \). Furthermore we have \( b(z, w; 1) = z \). Thus the above integral simplifies to

\[
\int_0^w \frac{L(z, w, s)}{(1 - f(Q_1(z, w), s))^2} ds,
\]

where \( L(z, w, s) \) is a non-zero regular function. As long as \( f(Q_1(z, w), s) \neq 1 \) for \( 0 \leq s \leq w \) then the integral represents a regular function in \( z \) and \( w \). Hence, we have to detect \( s \) for which \( f(Q_1(z, w), s) = 1 \). Let us first assume that \( z \) and \( w \) are real an positive. We also recall that by assumption \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = A(f, s)/B(f, s) < 0 \). Thus, if start with \( z, w \) close to zero and increase them we observe that the first critical instance occurs when \( f(Q_1(z, w), 0) = 1 \). Of course this has to coincide with the condition \( z + w = 1 \) and we have to re-cover the (known) singular behaviour \( 1/(1-z-w) \).

Actually we can use the following easy lemma (which follows from partial integraion).

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that \( N(s) \) and \( D(s) \) are three times continuously differentiable function such that \( D(s) \neq 0 \) and \( D'(s) \neq 0 \) Then we have

\[
\int \frac{N(s)}{D(s)^2} ds = -\frac{N(s)}{D(s)D'(s)} + \log D(s) \left( \frac{N(s)}{D'(s)} \right)' \]
\[
- \int \log D(s) \left( \frac{1}{D'(s)} \left( \frac{N(s)}{D'(s)} \right)' \right) ds.
\]

If we apply this lemma in our context it follows that

\[
\int_0^w \frac{L(z, w, s)}{(1 - f(Q_1(z, w), s))^2} ds = \frac{\tilde{L}_1(z, w)}{1 - f(Q_1(z, w), 0)} + O(\log |1 - f(Q_1(z, w), 0)|)
\]

for positive real \( z, w \) with \( z + w \rightarrow 1 \) (and a proper non-zero analytic function \( \tilde{L}_1(z, w) \)). Summing up we obtain for positive real \( z, w \) with \( z + w \rightarrow 1 \) the asymptotic representation

\[
H(z, w; 1) = \frac{\tilde{L}_2(z, w)}{1 - f(Q_1(z, w), 0)} + O(\log |1 - f(Q_1(z, w), 0)|)
\]
for some non-zero analytic function $\tilde{L}_2(z, w)$. In particular it follows that $1 - f(Q_1(z, w), 0)$ can be written as

$$1 - f(Q_1(z, w), 0) = \tilde{L}_2(z, w)(1 - z - w).$$

Of course the same kind of analysis applies if $z$ and $w$ are complex numbers close to the positive real line. Furthermore we observe that the integral representation for $H(z; w; 1)$ will not get singular if $z + w \neq 1$. By continuity this also holds if $v$ is close to 1 and $|1 - z - w| \geq \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Finally if $v$ is close (but different) to 1 and $z$ and $w$ satisfy $|1 - z - w| < \delta$ then we just have to modify the above analysis slightly and observe that $H(z; w; v)$ can be represented as

$$H(z, w; v) = \frac{L_2(z, w; v)}{1 - b(f(Q_1(z, w), 0), 0; v)} + O(\log |1 - b(f(Q_1(z, w), 0), 0; v)|)$$

Thus, the equation

$$(4.1) \quad b(f(Q_1(z, w), 0), 0; v) = 1$$

determines the dominant singularity of $H(z; w; v)$. By the implicit function theorem it follows that for there exists a solution of (4.1) of the form $z = z_0(w; v)$ with $z_0(w; 1) = 1 - w$ (if $w$ is close to the positive real line segment $[0, 1]$).

5. A CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

We start with a lemma on bivariate asymptotics for generating functions in two variables which is a slight generalization of the smooth case in Pemantle and Wilson’s book [6].

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that $f(z, w)$ is a generating function is two variables that can be written in the form

$$f(z, w) = \frac{N(z, w)}{D(z, w)},$$

where $N$ and $D$ are regular functions such that the system of equations

$$(5.1) \quad D(z, w) = 0, \quad wD_z(z, w) = \rho z D_z(z, w)$$

has a unique positive and analytic solution $z = z_0(\rho), w = w_0(\rho)$ for $\rho$ in a positive interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ such that $D_w(z_0(\rho), w_0(\rho)) \neq 0$ in this range and that $D(z, w) = 0$ has no other solutions for $|z| \leq z_0(\rho), \ |w| \leq w_0(\rho)$. Furthermore we assume that $N(z_0(\rho), w_0(\rho)) \neq 0$.

Then we have uniformly for $m/n \in [\alpha, \beta]$

$$(5.2) \quad [z^n w^m] f(z, w) \sim \frac{N(z_0(m/n), w_0(m/n))}{-z_0(m/n)w_0(m/n)D_z(z_0(m/n), w_0(m/n))} \frac{z_0(m/n)^{-n}w_0(m/n)^{-m}}{\sqrt{2\pi n \Delta(m/n)}},$$

where

$$\Delta(\rho) = \left. \frac{(D_{zz}D^2_w - 2D_{zw}D_zD_w + D_{ww}D^2_z) \rho^{2\rho} + D^2_w \rho wD_z}{z^2D^2_z} \right|_{z = z_0(\rho), w = w_0(\rho)}$$

Proof. By assumption the map $z \mapsto f(z, w)$ has a unique polar singularity at $z = z(w)$, where $z(w)$ is determined by $D(z(w), w) = 0$ (for $w$ close to the real interval $[w_0(a), w_0(b)]$) which implies

$$[z^n] f(z, w) \sim \frac{N(z(w), w)}{-z(w)D_z(z(w), w)} z(w)^{-n}.$$ 

Finally we fix the ratio $m/n = \rho$ and a direct application of the saddle point method on the Cauchy integral evaluating

$$[w^m z^n] f(z, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w| = w_0(\rho)} ([z^n] f(z, w)) w^{-m-1} dw$$

leads to the result. Note that the saddle point $w = w_0(\rho)$ that comes from the power $z(w)^{-n}w^{-\rho n}$ has to satisfy (5.1). □
We now apply this procedure to a slightly more general situation, namely when there is a further parameter \( v \) (that is assumed to be close to 1):

\[
f(z, w; v) = \frac{N(z, w; v)}{D(z, w; v)}
\]

In our context we have to identify \( f(z, w; v) \) with \( H(z, w; v) \) and \( D(z, w; v) \) with \( 1 - b(f(Q_1(z, w), 0; v)) \).

Of course we have to formulate proper assumptions (similar to the above which are actually satisfied for \( H(z, w; v) \)) and, hence, by (5.2) we obtain an asymptotic expansion of the form

\[
[z^n w^m] H(z, w; v) \sim \frac{C(m/n; v)}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} z_0(m/n; v)^{-n} w_0(m/n; v)^{-m}
\]

that is uniform in \( v \) (for \( v \) sufficiently close to 1).

If we fix the ratio \( \rho = m/n \) the leading asymptotics is then just a power in \( n \):

\[
z_0(\rho; v)^{-n} w_0(\rho; v)^{-en} = e^{h(\rho; v)n}
\]

with \( h(\rho; v) = -\log z_0(\rho; v) - \rho \log w_0(\rho; v) \). Actually we have a so-called quasi-power, where we can expect that (after proper normalization) a central limit theorem should hold.

In our context we obtain

\[
\mathbb{E}[n^{\lambda_{n,m,n}}] = \left[ z^n w^m \right] H(z, w; v) \sim \frac{C(\rho; v)}{C(1)} \left( \frac{z_0(\rho; 1) w_0(\rho; 1)^\rho}{z_0(\rho; v) w_0(\rho; v)^\rho} \right)^n.
\]

And this is precisely the assumption that is needed in order to apply Hwang’s Quasi-Power Theorem [2].

**Lemma 4.** Let \( X_n \) be a random variable with the property that

\[
\mathbb{E} n^{X_n} = e^{\lambda_n A(1) + B(1)} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{\varphi_n} \right) \right)
\]

holds uniformly in a complex neighbourhood of \( v = 1 \), where \( \lambda_n \) and \( \varphi_n \) are sequences of positive real numbers with \( \lambda_n \to \infty \) and \( \varphi_n \to \infty \), and \( A(v) \) and \( B(v) \) are analytic functions in this neighbourhood of \( v = 1 \) with \( A(1) = B(1) = 0 \). Then \( X_n \) satisfies a central limit theorem of the form

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} (X_n - \mathbb{E} X_n) \to N(0, \sigma^2)
\]

and we have

\[
\mathbb{E} X_n = \lambda_n \mu + O(1 + \lambda_n/\varphi_n)
\]

and

\[
\text{Var} X_n = \lambda_n \sigma^2 + O \left( (1 + \lambda_n/\varphi_n)^2 \right),
\]

where

\[
\mu = A'(1)
\]

and

\[
\sigma^2 = A''(1) + A'(1).
\]

Recall that \( A(v) = h(\rho; v) = -\log z_0(\rho; v) - \rho \log w_0(\rho; v) \) so that

\[
\mu = \mu(\rho) = \frac{\frac{z_0(\rho; 1)}{z_0(\rho; 1)} - \frac{w_0(\rho; 1)}{w_0(\rho; v)}}{\frac{z_0(\rho; 1)}{w_0(\rho; 1)}}.
\]

Since we have assumed that \( X_{n,m} \) are non-negative random variables we can only expect a central limit theorem if \( \mu > 0 \), since for \( \mu = 0 \) it would follows that \( X_{n,m} \) is negative with probability 1/2.

Finally we mention that since the convergence is uniform in \( \rho \in [a, b] \) we also get a central limit theorem for \( n, m \to \infty \) if \( m/n \in [a, b] \). This completes the proof of our main Theorem 1.
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