

Tensor valuations on lattice polytopes

Monika Ludwig and Laura Silverstein

Abstract

The Ehrhart polynomial and the reciprocity theorems by Ehrhart & Macdonald are extended to tensor valuations on lattice polytopes. A complete classification is established of tensor valuations of rank up to eight that are equivariant with respect to the special linear group over the integers and translation covariant. Every such valuation is a linear combination of the Ehrhart tensors which is shown to no longer hold true for rank nine.

2010 AMS subject classification: 52B20, 52B45

1 Introduction and statement of results

Tensor valuations on convex bodies have attracted increasing attention in recent years (see, e.g., [8,24,27]). They were introduced by McMullen in [38] and Alesker subsequently obtained a complete classification of continuous and isometry equivariant tensor valuations on convex bodies (based on [3] but completed in [5]). Tensor valuations have found applications in different fields and subjects; in particular, in Stochastic Geometry and Imaging (see [27]). The aim of this article is to begin to develop the theory of tensor valuations on lattice polytopes.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ denote the set of lattice polytopes in \mathbb{R}^n ; that is, the set of convex polytopes with vertices in the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^n . In general, a full-dimensional lattice in \mathbb{R}^n is an image of \mathbb{Z}^n by an invertible linear transformation and, therefore, all results can easily be translated to the general situation of polytopes with vertices in an arbitrary lattice. A function Z defined on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ with values in an abelian semigroup is a *valuation* if

$$Z(P) + Z(Q) = Z(P \cup Q) + Z(P \cap Q)$$

whenever $P, Q, P \cup Q, P \cap Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $Z(\emptyset) = 0$.

For $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the lattice point enumerator, $L(P)$, is defined as

$$L(P) = \sum_{x \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} 1. \tag{1}$$

Hence, $L(P)$ is the number of lattice points in P and $P \mapsto L(P)$ is a valuation on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. A function Z defined on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ *invariant* if $Z(\phi P) = Z(P)$ for all $\phi \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ where $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is the special linear group over the integers; that is, the group of transformations that can be described by $n \times n$ matrices of determinant 1 with integer coefficients. A function Z is *translation invariant* on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ if $Z(P + y) = Z(P)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. It is *i -homogeneous* if $Z(kP) = k^i Z(P)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ where \mathbb{N} is the set of non-negative integers.

A fundamental result on lattice polytopes by Ehrhart [15] introduces the so-called Ehrhart polynomial and was the beginning of what is now known as Ehrhart Theory (see [6, 7]).

Theorem (Ehrhart). *There exist $L_i : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ such that*

$$L(kP) = \sum_{i=0}^n L_i(P)k^i$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. For each i , the functional L_i is an $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and translation invariant valuation that is homogeneous of degree i .

Note that $L_n(P)$ is the n -dimensional volume, $V_n(P)$, and $L_0(P)$ the Euler characteristic of P , that is, $L_0(P) = 1$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ non-empty and $L_0(\emptyset) = 0$. Also note that $L_i(P) = 0$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ with $\dim(P) < i$, where $\dim(P)$ is the dimension of the affine hull of P .

Extending the definition of the lattice point enumerator (1), for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and a non-negative integer r , we define the *discrete moment tensor of rank r* by

$$L^r(P) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{x \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} x^r$$

where x^r denotes the r -fold symmetric tensor product of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let \mathbb{T}^r denote the vector space of symmetric tensors of rank r on \mathbb{R}^n . We then have $\mathbb{T}^0 = \mathbb{R}$ and $L^0 = L$. For $r = 1$, we obtain the *discrete moment vector*, which was introduced in [12]. For $r \geq 2$, discrete moment tensors were introduced in [13]. The discrete moment tensor is a natural discretization of the *moment tensor of rank r* of $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ which is defined to be

$$M^r(P) = \frac{1}{r!} \int_P x^r dx. \quad (2)$$

For $r = 0$ and $r = 1$, respectively, this is the n -dimensional volume, V_n , and the moment vector. See [43, Section 5.4] for more information on moment tensors and [18–20, 31–34] for some recent results.

Corresponding to the theorem of Ehrhart, we establish the existence of a homogeneous decomposition for the discrete moment tensors for integers $r \geq 1$.

Theorem 1. *There exist $L_i^r : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ for $i = 1, \dots, n + r$ such that*

$$L^r(kP) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+r} L_i^r(P)k^i$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. For each i , the function L_i^r is an $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, translation covariant and i -homogeneous valuation.

For the definition of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariance and translation covariance, see Section 2. The coefficients yield new valuations that we introduce here as *Ehrhart tensors*. Note that $L_{n+r}^r(P)$ is the moment tensor of P and that $L_{i+r}^r(P) = 0$ for $i > \dim(P)$ (see Section 7). The existence of the homogeneous decomposition is proved for general tensor valuations in Section 3. The proof is based on results by Khovanskii & Pukhlikov [26].

A second fundamental result on lattice polytopes is the reciprocity theorem of Ehrhart [15] and Macdonald [35].

Theorem (Ehrhart & Macdonald). *For $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, the relation*

$$L(\operatorname{relint} P) = (-1)^m \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i L_i(P)$$

holds where $m = \dim(P)$.

Here, we write $\operatorname{relint}(P)$ for the relative interior of P with respect to the affine hull of P . We establish a reciprocity result corresponding to the Ehrhart-Macdonald Theorem for the discrete moment tensor.

Theorem 2. *For $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, the relation*

$$L^r(\operatorname{relint} P) = (-1)^{m+r} \sum_{i=1}^{m+r} (-1)^i L_i^r(P)$$

holds where $m = \dim(P)$.

In Section 4, we establish reciprocity theorems for general tensor valuations; the above theorem is a special case. We follow the approach of McMullen [36].

A third fundamental result on lattice polytopes is the Betke & Kneser Theorem [11]. It provides a complete classification of $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and translation invariant real-valued valuations on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and a characterization of the Ehrhart coefficients.

Theorem (Betke & Kneser). *A functional $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and translation invariant valuation if and only if there are $c_0, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Z(P) = c_0 L_0(P) + \dots + c_n L_n(P)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

The above result was established by Betke [10] and first published in [11]. In both papers, it was assumed that the functional is invariant with respect to unimodular transformations where these are defined to be a combination of translations by integral vectors and $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ transformations; that is, linear transformations with integer coefficients and determinant ± 1 . The proofs remain unchanged for the $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ case (see [12]).

The Betke & Kneser Theorem is a discrete analogue of what is presumably the most celebrated result in the geometric theory of valuations, Hadwiger's Characterization Theorem [21]. Let \mathcal{K}^n denote the space of convex bodies (that is, compact convex sets) on \mathbb{R}^n equipped with the topology coming from the Hausdorff metric.

Theorem (Hadwiger). *A functional $Z : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and rigid motion invariant valuation if and only if there are $c_0, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Z(K) = c_0 V_0(K) + \dots + c_n V_n(K)$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$.

Here $V_0(K), \dots, V_n(K)$ are the *intrinsic volumes* of $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, which are classically defined through the Steiner polynomial. That is, for $s \geq 0$,

$$V_n(K + s B^n) = \sum_{j=0}^n s^{n-j} v_{n-j} V_j(K),$$

where B^n is the n -dimensional Euclidean unit ball with volume v_n and

$$K + s B^n = \{x + s y : x \in K, y \in B^n\}.$$

The Hadwiger Theorem has powerful applications within Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability (see [21, 28]).

Hadwiger's theorem was extended to vector valuations by Hadwiger & Schneider [22].

Theorem (Hadwiger & Schneider). *A function $Z : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous, rotation equivariant, and translation covariant valuation if and only if there are $c_1, \dots, c_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Z(K) = c_1 M_1^1(K) + \dots + c_{n+1} M_{n+1}^1(K)$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$.

Here $M_i^1(K) = \Phi_i^{1,0}(K)$ are the *intrinsic vectors* of K (see (3) below). The key ingredient in the proof is a characterization of the Steiner point by Schneider [42].

Correspondingly, we obtain the following classification theorem for $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 3. *A function $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation covariant valuation if and only if there are $c_1, \dots, c_{n+r} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Z(P) = c_1 L_1^1(P) + \dots + c_{n+r} L_{n+1}^1(P)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

The proof is based on a characterization [12] of the discrete Steiner point. For $n = 1$, the characterization follows from the Betke & Kneser Theorem as only translation covariance has to be considered. Therefore, we assume $n \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$ for the remainder of the paper.

The theorems by Hadwiger and Hadwiger & Schneider were extended by Alesker [2, 5] (based on [3]) to a classification of continuous, rotation equivariant, and translation covariant tensor valuations on \mathcal{K}^n involving extensions of the intrinsic volumes. Just as the intrinsic volumes can be obtained from the Steiner polynomial, the moment tensor M^r satisfies the Steiner formula

$$M^r(K + s B^n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+r} s^{n+r-j} v_{n+r-j} \sum_{k \geq 0} \Phi_{j-r+k}^{r-k,k} \quad (3)$$

for $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $s \geq 0$. The coefficients $\Phi_k^{r,s}$ are called the *Minkowski tensors* (see [43, Section 5.4]). Let $Q \in \mathbb{T}^2$ be the metric tensor, that is, $Q(x, y) = x \cdot y$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem (Alesker). *A function $Z : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is a continuous, rotation equivariant, and translation covariant valuation if and only if Z can be written as linear combination of the symmetric tensor products $Q^l \Phi_k^{m,s}$ with $2l + m + s = r$.*

We remark that there are linear relations, called syzygies, between the tensors described above and that the dimension of the space of continuous, rotation equivariant and translation covariant matrix valuations $Z : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ is $3n + 1$ for $n \geq 2$ (see [3]).

For tensor valuations of rank up to eight, we obtain the following complete classification. For $r \geq 3$, symbolic computation is used in the proof to show that certain matrices are non-singular.

Theorem 4. *For $2 \leq r \leq 8$, a function $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is an $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation covariant valuation if and only if there are $c_1, \dots, c_{n+r} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Z(P) = c_1 L_1^r(P) + \dots + c_{n+r} L_{n+r}^r(P)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

While the Betke & Kneser Theorem looks similar to the Hadwiger Theorem and Theorem 3 looks similar to the Hadwiger & Schneider Theorem, the similarity between the discrete and continuous cases breaks down for rank $r = 2$, as corresponding spaces have even different dimensions. For $n = 2$ and $r = 9$, there exists a new $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant valuation which is not a linear combination of the Ehrhart tensors; it is described in Section 8.2. Hence, we do not expect that a classification similar to Theorem 4 continues to hold for $r \geq 9$.

Additionally, we obtain a classification of translation covariant and $(n + r)$ -homogeneous tensor valuations on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ for $r \geq 1$ in Theorem 27 which provides a characterization of the moment tensor. The scalar case of this result corresponds to Hadwiger's classification [21, Satz XIV] of translation invariant and n -homogeneous valuations on convex polytopes while the case of tensors of general rank r corresponds to McMullen's classification [38] of continuous, translation covariant, and $(n + r)$ -homogeneous tensor valuations on convex bodies.

2 Preliminaries

For quick later reference, we aggregate most of the basics into this section and refer the reader, for more general reference, to [6, 7, 17].

Our setting will be the n -dimensional Euclidean space, \mathbb{R}^n , equipped with the scalar product $x \cdot y$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, to identify \mathbb{R}^n with its dual space. The identification of \mathbb{R}^n with its dual space allows us to regard each symmetric r -tensor as a symmetric r -linear functional on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^r$. Let \mathbb{T}^r denote the vector space of symmetric tensors of rank r on \mathbb{R}^n . We will also write this as $\mathbb{T}^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if we want to stress the vector space in which we are working.

The symmetric tensor product of tensors $A_i \in \mathbb{T}^{r_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ is

$$A_1 \odot \dots \odot A_k(v_1, \dots, v_r) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma} A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r)})$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $r = r_1 + \dots + r_k$, the ordinary tensor product is denoted by \otimes , and we sum over all of the permutations of $1, \dots, r$. We use the abbreviated notation $AB = A \odot B$. Specifically, the r -fold symmetric tensor product of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ will be written as

$$x^r = x \odot \dots \odot x.$$

Symmetry is inherent here; so this is equal to the r -fold tensor product. Note that, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, its r -fold symmetric tensor product is

$$x^r(v_1, \dots, v_r) = (x \cdot v_1) \cdots (x \cdot v_r)$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We also define $x^0 = 1$ whenever $x \neq 0$.

Applying this to the discrete moment tensor, in particular, gives us

$$L^r(P)(v_1, \dots, v_r) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{x \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} (x \cdot v_1) \cdots (x \cdot v_r)$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For the discrete moment tensor $L^r : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$, the action of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is observed to be

$$L^r(\phi P)(v_1, \dots, v_r) = L^r(P)(\phi^t v_1, \dots, \phi^t v_r)$$

for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ where ϕ^t is the transpose of ϕ . In general, a function $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is said to be $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ *equivariant* if

$$Z(\phi P)(v_1, \dots, v_r) = Z(P)(\phi^t v_1, \dots, \phi^t v_r)$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. We will write this as $Z(\phi P) = Z(P) \circ \phi^t$. We use the term $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariance in order to stay consistent with the vector-valued case and note that for $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\phi(x_1 \odot \cdots \odot x_r) = \phi x_1 \odot \cdots \odot \phi x_r$$

for $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

Using the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n , which we denote as (e_1, \dots, e_n) , we show that an $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant tensor valuation defined on a lower dimensional lattice polytope is completely determined by its lower dimensional coordinates. The precise statement is given as the following lemma. For $A \in \mathbb{T}^r$ and $r_j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r_1 + \cdots + r_m = r$, we write $A(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_m[r_m])$ for $A(e_1, \dots, e_1, \dots, e_m, \dots, e_m)$ with e_j appearing r_j times for $j = 1, \dots, m$. We identify the subspace of lattice polytopes lying in the span of e_1, \dots, e_{m-1} with $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{m-1})$ and set $\mathbb{Z}^0 = \{0\}$.

Lemma 5. *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, then*

$$Z(P)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_{m-1}[r_{m-1}], e_m[r_m]) = 0$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{m-1})$ whenever $r_m > 0$ and $r_1 + \cdots + r_m = r$.

Proof. If $m = 1$, then we consider $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ that maps e_1 to $e_1 + e_2$ and e_j to e_j for $j > 1$. For $P = \{0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(P)(e_1[r-1], e_2) &= Z(\phi P)(e_1[r-1], e_2) \\ &= Z(P)(e_1[r-1], e_1 + e_2) \\ &= Z(P)(e_1[r]) + Z(P)(e_1[r-1], e_2) \end{aligned}$$

yielding the result.

So, let $m \geq 2$. The proof is by induction on $r_1 \geq 0$. Consider the linear transformation $\phi \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ that maps e_m to $e_1 + e_m$ and maps e_j to e_j for all $j \neq m$. Any lattice polytope $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{m-1})$ is invariant with respect to the map ϕ yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}(P)(e_1, e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m-1]) &= \mathbf{Z}(\phi P)(e_1, e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m-1]) \\ &= \mathbf{Z}(P)(e_1 + e_m, e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m-1]) \\ &= \mathbf{Z}(P)(e_1, e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m-1]) + \mathbf{Z}(P)(e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m]) \end{aligned}$$

for any integers $r_2, \dots, r_m \geq 0$ with $r_2 + \dots + r_m = r$. Hence we have proved the statement for $r_1 = 0$.

Let $r_1 > 0$ and suppose the statement holds for $r_1 - 1$. Then the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}(P)(e_1[r_1 + 1], e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m]) &= \mathbf{Z}(\phi P)(e_1[r_1 + 1], e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m]) \\ &= \mathbf{Z}(P)(e_1 + e_m[r_1 + 1], e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m]) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{r_1+1} \binom{r_1+1}{l} \mathbf{Z}(P)(e_1[r_1 + 1 - l], e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m + l]) \\ &= \mathbf{Z}(P)(e_1[r_1 + 1], e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m]) + (r_1 + 1) \mathbf{Z}(P)(e_1[r_1], e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m + 1]) \end{aligned}$$

shows that $\mathbf{Z}(P)(e_1[r_1], e_2[r_2], \dots, e_m[r_m + 1]) = 0$, which completes the proof by induction. \square

Next, we look at the behavior of the discrete moment tensor L^r with respect to translations. For $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$L^r(P + y) = \sum_{j=0}^r L^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!},$$

where on the right side we sum over symmetric tensor products. In accordance with McMullen [38], a valuation $\mathbf{Z} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is called *translation covariant* if there exist associated functions $\mathbf{Z}^j : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^j$ for $j = 0, \dots, r$ such that

$$\mathbf{Z}(P + y) = \sum_{j=0}^r \mathbf{Z}^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Certain essential properties of \mathbf{Z} are inherited by its associated functions. These can be seen by a comparison of the coefficients in the polynomial expansion of \mathbf{Z} evaluated at a translated lattice polytope. The following proposition gives the first of these properties. It was proven in [38] for tensor valuations on convex bodies and is included here for completeness.

Proposition 6. *If $\mathbf{Z} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is a translation covariant valuation with associated functions $\mathbf{Z}^0, \dots, \mathbf{Z}^r$, then, for $j = 0, \dots, r$, the associated function \mathbf{Z}^{r-j} is a translation covariant valuation with the same associated functions as \mathbf{Z} , that is,*

$$\mathbf{Z}^{r-j}(P + y) = \mathbf{Z}^{r-j}(P) + \dots + \mathbf{Z}^0(P) \frac{y^{r-j}}{(r-j)!}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Proof. We compare coefficients in the polynomial expansion in the translation vector $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Since Z is a valuation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{r-j}(P \cup Q) \frac{y^j}{j!} &= Z((P \cup Q) + y) = Z((P + y) \cup (Q + y)) \\ &= Z(P + y) + Z(Q + y) - Z((P + y) \cap (Q + y)) \\ &= Z(P + y) + Z(Q + y) - Z((P \cap Q) + y) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!} + \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{r-j}(Q) \frac{y^j}{j!} - \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{r-j}(P \cap Q) \frac{y^j}{j!}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the associated functions of Z are valuations.

For $y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(P + y + z) &= \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{r-j}(P + y) \frac{z^j}{j!} = \sum_{k=0}^r Z^{r-k}(P) \frac{(y + z)^k}{k!} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^r Z^{r-k}(P) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{y^{k-j} z^j}{j!(k-j)!} = \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{k=j}^r Z^{r-k}(P) \frac{y^{k-j} z^j}{j!(k-j)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$Z^{r-j}(P + y) = \sum_{k=j}^r Z^{r-k}(P) \frac{y^{k-j}}{(k-j)!} = Z^{r-j}(P) + \dots + Z^0(P) \frac{y^{r-j}}{(r-j)!},$$

that is, we obtain the same associated functions as before. \square

We require further results on the associated functions.

Proposition 7. *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a translation covariant valuation. If Z is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, then its associated functions are also $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant. If Z is i -homogeneous, then its associated function Z^j vanishes for $j < r - i$ and otherwise is $(i + j - r)$ -homogeneous.*

Proof. If Z is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, then, for any $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{r-j}(\phi P) \frac{y^j}{j!} &= Z(\phi P + y) = Z(\phi(P + \phi^{-1}y)) = Z(P + \phi^{-1}y) \circ \phi^t \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r (Z^{r-j}(P) \circ \phi^t) \left(\frac{(\phi^{-1}y)^j}{j!} \circ \phi^t \right) = \sum_{j=0}^r (Z^{r-j}(P) \circ \phi^t) \frac{y^j}{j!}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the associated functions are also $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant.

Now suppose Z is i -homogeneous and let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$Z^r(k(P+y)) = \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{r-j}(kP) \frac{(ky)^j}{j!}.$$

Furthermore, if we first consider the homogeneity of the valuation, we obtain

$$Z^r(k(P+y)) = k^i Z^r(P+y) = \sum_{j=0}^r k^i Z^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!}.$$

As these equations hold for any $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, a comparison of the two shows that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$k^j Z^{r-j}(kP) = k^i Z^{r-j}(P).$$

Hence, if the valuation Z is i -homogeneous, then Z^{r-j} is $(i-j)$ -homogeneous for $j \leq i$ and vanishes for $j > i$. \square

The inclusion-exclusion principle is a fundamental property of valuations on lattice polytopes that was first established by Betke but left unpublished. The first published proof was given by McMullen in [39] where the following more general extension property was also established. For $m \geq 1$, we write $P_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} P_j$ for $\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ and given lattice polytopes P_1, \dots, P_m . Let $|J|$ denote the number of elements in J and let \mathbb{G} be an abelian group.

Theorem 8 (McMullen [39]). *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a valuation, then there exists an extension of Z , also denoted by Z , to finite unions of lattice polytopes such that*

$$Z(P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_m) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} (-1)^{|J|-1} Z(P_J)$$

whenever $P_J \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ for all $\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$.

In particular, Theorem 8 can be used to define valuations on the relative interior of lattice polytopes. We write $\text{relbd } P$ for the relative boundary of $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and further set $Z(\text{relint } P) = Z(P) - Z(\text{relbd } P)$. Expressing $\text{relbd } P$ as the union of its faces, we obtain

$$Z(\text{relint } P) = (-1)^{\dim(P)} \sum_F (-1)^{\dim(F)} Z(F) \quad (4)$$

for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ where we sum over all non-empty faces of P .

Betke & Kneser [11] proved their classification theorem by using suitable dissections and complementations of lattice polytopes by lattice simplices. Let $T_k \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ be the standard k -dimensional simplex, that is, the convex hull of the origin and the vectors e_1, \dots, e_k . We call a k -dimensional simplex S *unimodular* if there are $\phi \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $S = \phi(T_k + x)$. We require the following results.

Proposition 9 (Betke & Kneser [11]). *For every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, there exist unimodular simplices S_1, \dots, S_m and integers k_1, \dots, k_m such that*

$$Z(P) = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i Z(S_i)$$

for all valuations Z on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ with values in an abelian group.

The following statement is a direct consequence of this proposition.

Corollary 10. *If $Z, Z' : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ are $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant valuations such that*

$$Z(T_i) = Z'(T_i) \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n,$$

then $Z = Z'$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

A function Z is *Minkowski additive* if $Z(P + Q) = Z(P) + Z(Q)$ for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. The following is proved as [43, Remark 6.3.3].

Proposition 11. *Every 1-homogeneous, translation invariant valuation $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is Minkowski additive.*

3 Ehrhart tensor polynomials

We now apply results on translative polynomial valuations to show that the evaluation of the discrete moment tensor on dilated lattice polytopes yields a homogeneous decomposition in which the coefficients themselves are new tensor valuations. In analogy to Ehrhart's celebrated result, we call this expansion the *Ehrhart tensor polynomial* of P .

We now consider valuations that take values in a rational vector space which we denote by \mathbb{V} . A valuation $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ is *translative polynomial* of degree at most d if, for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, the function defined on \mathbb{Z}^n by $x \mapsto Z(P + x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d . McMullen [36] considered translative polynomial valuations of degree at most one and Khovanskiĭ & Pukhlikov [26] proved Theorem 13 in the general case. Another proof, following the approach of [36], is due to Alesker [4]. These papers assume that the valuation on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ satisfies the inclusion-exclusion principle, which holds by Theorem 8.

Theorem 12 (Khovanskiĭ & Pukhlikov [26]). *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ be a valuation which is translative polynomial of degree at most d and let $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ be given. For any $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $Z(k_1 P_1 + \dots + k_m P_m)$ is a polynomial in k_1, \dots, k_m of total degree at most $d + n$. Moreover, the coefficient of $k_1^{r_1} \dots k_m^{r_m}$ is an r_i -homogeneous valuation in P_i which is translative polynomial of degree at most d .*

Here we only require a special case of the result by Khovanskiĭ & Pukhlikov.

Theorem 13. *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ is a valuation that is translative polynomial of degree at most d , then there exist $Z_i : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n + d$ such that*

$$Z(kP) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+d} Z_i(P) k^i$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. For each i , the function Z_i is a translative polynomial and i -homogeneous valuation.

Since Z_i is i -homogeneous, the function $x \mapsto Z_i(P + x)$ is an i -homogeneous polynomial. As a consequence, the function Z_i is translative polynomial of degree i . Note that this result contains the translation invariant case by setting $d = 0$.

Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a translation covariant tensor valuation. For given $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we associate the real-valued valuation $P \mapsto Z(P)(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ with the tensor valuation Z . Since

$$Z(P + y)(v_1, \dots, v_r) = \sum_{j=0}^r \left(Z^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!} \right)(v_1, \dots, v_r),$$

the real-valued valuation $P \mapsto Z(P)(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ is translative polynomial of degree at most r . Therefore, we immediately obtain the following consequence of Theorem 13.

Theorem 14. *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is a translation covariant valuation, then there exist $Z_i : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ for $i = 0, \dots, n+r$ such that*

$$Z(kP) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+r} Z_i(P) k^i$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. For each i , the function Z_i is a translation covariant and i -homogeneous valuation.

Note that if the tensor valuation Z is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, then so are the homogeneous components Z_0, \dots, Z_{n+r} .

The homogeneous components have a translation property that agrees with the covariance of Z . The translation covariance of $Z = Z^r$ together with its decomposition from Theorem 14 yields

$$\begin{aligned} Z^r(k(P + y)) &= \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{r-j}(kP) \frac{(ky)^j}{j!} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{l=0}^{n+r-j} Z_l^{r-j}(P) \frac{k^{j+l} y^j}{j!} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{l=j}^{n+r} Z_{l-j}^{r-j}(P) \frac{k^l y^j}{j!}. \end{aligned}$$

By the homogeneous decomposition of Theorem 14, we also have

$$Z^r(k(P + y)) = \sum_{l=0}^{n+r} Z_l^r(P + y) k^l.$$

A comparison of the coefficients of these polynomials in k gives

$$Z_l^r(P + y) = \sum_{j=0}^l Z_{l-j}^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!} \tag{5}$$

where we set $Z_l^s = 0$ for $s < 0$. Furthermore, if Z^r is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, then Lemma 5 implies that

$$Z_0^r(P) = Z^r(0P) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } r = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

for $n \geq 2$ with $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and hence, Z_1^r is translation invariant for $r \geq 2$.

We apply the homogeneous decomposition of Theorem 14 to the discrete moment tensor to obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 15. *There exist $L_i^r : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ for $i = 0, \dots, n+r$ such that*

$$L^r(kP) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+r} L_i^r(P) k^i$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. For each i , the function L_i^r is an $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, translation covariant, and i -homogeneous valuation.

Theorem 1 is then an implication of Corollary 15 and (6). We remark that, within Ehrhart Theory, further bases for the space of real-valued valuations on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ are also important (see [13, 25] for more information).

4 Reciprocity

The reciprocity theorem of Ehrhart and Macdonald [15, 35] is a widely used tool in combinatorics. We provide an extension of their result.

Given a function $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$, we define the function $Z^\circ : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ as

$$Z^\circ(P) = \sum_F (-1)^{\dim(F)} Z(F) \tag{7}$$

where the sum extends over all non-empty faces F of the lattice polytope P . Sallee [41] showed that Z° is a valuation and that $Z^{\circ\circ} = Z$. Furthermore, if Z is translation invariant or translation covariant, then Z° has the same translation property. The latter case can be seen from the equation

$$\begin{aligned} Z^\circ(P + y) &= \sum_F (-1)^{\dim(F)} Z(F + y) = \sum_F (-1)^{\dim(F)} \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{r-j}(F) \frac{y^j}{j!} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_F (-1)^{\dim(F)} Z^{r-j}(F) \frac{y^j}{j!} = \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{(r-j)^\circ}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!} \end{aligned}$$

for any $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, where we have also shown that the associated tensor $(Z^\circ)^{r-j}$ is equal to $(Z^{r-j})^\circ$ for every applicable j .

The following reciprocity theorem was established by McMullen [36]; see [25] for a different proof. Let \mathbb{V} be a rational vector space.

Theorem 16 (McMullen [36]). *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ is an i -homogeneous and translation invariant valuation, then*

$$Z^\circ(P) = (-1)^i Z(-P)$$

for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

This result applies to any rational vector space and, therefore, includes tensor valuations with the aforementioned properties. We now use this result to prove an analogous reciprocity theorem for translation covariant tensor valuations.

Theorem 17. *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is an i -homogeneous and translation covariant valuation, then*

$$Z^\circ(P) = (-1)^i Z(-P)$$

for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Proof. We prove this by induction on $r \in \mathbb{N}$ where the case $r = 0$ is covered by Theorem 16.

Let $\tilde{Z}(P) = Z^\circ(P) - (-1)^i Z(-P)$. By the translation behavior of Z and Z° and by the induction hypothesis, we have for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}(P+y) &= Z^\circ(P+y) - (-1)^i Z(-(P+y)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{\circ j}(P) \frac{y^{r-j}}{(r-j)!} - (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^r Z^j(-P) \frac{(-y)^{r-j}}{(r-j)!} \\ &= Z^{\circ r}(P) - (-1)^i Z^r(-P) + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left((-1)^{i+j-r} Z^j(-P) \frac{y^{r-j}}{(r-j)!} - (-1)^i Z^j(-P) \frac{(-y)^{r-j}}{(r-j)!} \right) \\ &= Z^\circ(P) - (-1)^i Z(-P) = \tilde{Z}(P). \end{aligned}$$

Recall here that by Proposition 7 the associated tensor Z^j is $(i+j-r)$ -homogeneous as Z is i -homogeneous and that $(Z^\circ)^j = (Z^j)^\circ$. Then \tilde{Z} is an i -homogeneous and translation invariant valuation. From Theorem 16, we obtain

$$\tilde{Z}^\circ(P) = (-1)^i \tilde{Z}(-P).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}(P) &= (-1)^i \tilde{Z}^\circ(-P) = (-1)^i (Z^{\circ \circ}(-P) - (-1)^i Z^\circ(P)) \\ &= - (Z^\circ(P) - (-1)^i Z(-P)) = -\tilde{Z}(P) \end{aligned}$$

yielding $\tilde{Z} = 0$ which proves the theorem. \square

The homogeneous decomposition of tensor valuations from Theorem 14 allows to consider reciprocity without the assumption of homogeneity. Since Z° is also a translation covariant valuation if Z is, the following result is a simple consequence of Theorem 17.

Corollary 18. *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is a translation covariant valuation, then*

$$Z^\circ(P) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+r} (-1)^i Z_i(-P)$$

for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Combined with (4), this gives the following result.

Corollary 19. *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is a translation covariant valuation, then*

$$Z(\text{relint } P) = (-1)^{\dim(P)} \sum_{i=0}^{n+r} (-1)^i Z_i(-P)$$

for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

So, in particular, using that $L^r(-P) = (-1)^r L^r(P)$ and that $L_{i+r}^r(P) = 0$ for $\dim(P) < i \leq n$, which is shown in Lemma 26 below, we obtain Theorem 2. Note that the results in this section for translation covariant tensor valuations also hold for translative polynomial valuations on lattice polytopes taking values in a rational vector space. The proofs remain the same.

5 Vector valuations

For a lattice polytope $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, the discrete Steiner point $L_1^1(P)$ was introduced in [12]. The valuation $P \mapsto L_1^1(P)$ has a translation property that we refer to as translation equivariance. In general, $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *translation equivariant* if $Z(P+x) = Z(P) + x$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Theorem 20 (Böröczky & Ludwig [12]). *A function $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and translation equivariant valuation if and only if $Z = L_1^1$.*

This result is the key ingredient in the classification of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation covariant vector valuations, Theorem 3.

5.1 Proof of Theorem 3

Since Z is translation covariant, there is $Z^0 : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$Z(P+y) = Z(P) + Z^0(P)y$$

for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. It follows that Z^0 is an $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and translation invariant valuation. By the Betke & Kneser Theorem, there are constants $c_0, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$Z^0 = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i L_i.$$

Set

$$\tilde{Z} = Z - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_{i-1} L_i^1.$$

Note that (5) applied to L_i^1 gives $L_i^1(P + y) = L_i^1(P) + L_{i-1}(P)y$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Z}(P + y) &= Z(P + y) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_{i-1} L_i^1(P + y) \\
&= Z(P) + Z^0(P)y - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_{i-1} (L_i^1(P) + L_{i-1}(P)y) \\
&= Z(P) + \sum_{i=0}^n c_i L_i(P)y - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_{i-1} L_i^1(P) - \sum_{i=0}^n c_i L_i(P)y \\
&= \tilde{Z}(P).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence \tilde{Z} is translation invariant and $\tilde{Z} + L_1^1$ is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and translation equivariant. Thus, Theorem 20 shows that $\tilde{Z}(P) = 0$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

6 Translation invariant valuations

The classification of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant tensor valuations turns out to be the main tool in our classification of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation covariant tensor valuations. In this section, we show that the only n -homogeneous, translation invariant tensor valuation that intertwines $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is the trivial tensor; that is, the tensor that vanishes identically. We also offer some definitions and include key lemmas on general translation invariant valuations here that will be applied to tensor valuations.

The following result corresponds to Hadwiger's result [21, Satz XIV] on polytopes and is a direct consequence of a result by McMullen [37, Theorem 1].

Theorem 21. *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a translation invariant and n -homogeneous valuation, then there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Z(P) = a V_n(P)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

The argument can easily be modified for tensor valuations by substituting a tensor $A \in \mathbb{T}^r$ for the constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we immediately obtain the following corollary of Theorem 21.

Corollary 22. *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is a translation invariant and n -homogeneous valuation, then there exists $A \in \mathbb{T}^r$ such that*

$$Z(P) = A V_n(P)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

As volume is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ invariant, Corollary 22 makes it natural to expect that the only valuation that is translation invariant, n -homogeneous, and, additionally, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant is the trivial valuation. We show that this is the case.

Proposition 23. *Let $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$. If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is an $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, translation invariant, and n -homogeneous valuation, then $Z(P) = 0$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 22, there exists $A \in \mathbb{T}^r$ such that $Z = V_n A$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. For any $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$, this implies that

$$V_n(P) A(v_1, \dots, v_r) = V_n(\phi P) A(v_1, \dots, v_r) = V_n(P) A(\phi^t v_1, \dots, \phi^t v_r)$$

as volume is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ invariant and Z is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant.

We are left to show that the only fixed point of the action of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ on the space of tensors is trivial. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq s \leq r$. If e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis of \mathbb{R}^n and $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then, by setting $\phi^t e_j = e_j + m e_k$ and $\phi^t e_l = e_l$ for $l \neq j$, we obtain a map $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. As volume is invariant with respect to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ transformations, for $j \neq l_{s+1}, \dots, l_r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(e_j[s], e_{l_{s+1}}, \dots, e_{l_r}) &= A(e_j + m e_k[s], e_{l_{s+1}}, \dots, e_{l_r}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s}{i} m^i A(e_j[s-i], e_k[i], e_{l_{s+1}}, \dots, e_{l_r}). \end{aligned}$$

Since m is arbitrary, this implies that

$$A(e_k[s], e_{l_{s+1}}, \dots, e_{l_r}) = \dots = A(e_j[s-1], e_k, e_{l_{s+1}}, \dots, e_{l_r}) = 0$$

completing the proof. \square

The Minkowski sum of $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is $P+Q = \{x+y : x \in P, y \in Q\}$. For $j = 1, \dots, n$, a polytope $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is called a j -cylinder if there are independent linear subspaces H_1, \dots, H_j of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension at least one and lattice polytopes $P_i \subset H_i$ such that $P = P_1 + \dots + P_j$. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}_j(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ the class of j -cylinders and note that $\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathbb{Z}^n) \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{Z}_1(\mathbb{Z}^n) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Observe that an n -cylinder is an n -dimensional parallelotope.

The following lemma can be found for convex polytopes in [29] and for lattice polytopes in [36, Lemma 4]. A valuation is called *simple* if it vanishes on lower dimensional sets.

Lemma 24. *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a simple, translation invariant, i -homogeneous valuation, then $Z(P) = 0$ for every $P \in \mathcal{Z}_j(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ when $j > i > 0$.*

The following lemma can be found in [43] for valuations on convex polytopes. Here, we provide a proof for lattice polytopes. Let \mathbb{V} be a rational vector space.

Lemma 25. *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ be a translation invariant valuation that is i -homogeneous for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $\dim(P) < i$, then $Z(P) = 0$.*

Proof. Let H be an $(i-1)$ -dimensional lattice subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . The restriction of Z to polytopes in $H \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is a valuation on polytopes with vertices in the lattice $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ which is invariant under the translations of H into itself. The homogeneous decomposition from Theorem 13 states that this restricted Z is a sum of valuations homogeneous of degrees $0, \dots, i-1$. However, the valuation Z is i -homogeneous implying that $Z(P) = 0$ for $P \subset H$. The translation invariance of Z together with the arbitrary choice of H implies that $Z(P) = 0$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ such that $\dim P < i$. \square

7 Properties of the Ehrhart tensors

The Ehrhart tensors L_i^r include, for $r = 0$, and expand upon the Ehrhart coefficients. They are also the discrete analogues of the Minkowski tensors. By Propositions 6 and 7, the Ehrhart tensors $L_i^r : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ are $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation covariant valuations. In this section, we derive further properties of these tensors and give a characterization for the leading Ehrhart tensor.

Recall that the discrete moment tensor is the discrete analogue of the moment tensor defined in (2). On lattice polytopes, the moment tensor coincides with the leading Ehrhart tensor. The following result is well-known for $r = 0$ (where $M^0 = V_n$).

Lemma 26. *For $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$,*

$$L_{n+r}^r(P) = M^r(P)$$

and $L_{i+r}^r(P) = 0$ for $\dim(P) < i \leq n$. Moreover, L_{i+r}^r is not simple for $0 \leq i < n$.

Proof. By the definition of the Riemann integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n+r}^r(P) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L^r(kP)}{k^{n+r}} = \frac{1}{r!} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{x \in kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{1}{k^r} x^r \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{x \in P \cap \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{Z}^n} x^r = \frac{1}{r!} \int_P x^r dx. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the statement for $\dim(P) = n$ and shows that $L_{n+r}(P) = 0$ for $\dim(P) < n$. The statement for $\dim(P) < i$ follows by considering the affine hull of P since L_{i+r}^r is again proportional (with a positive factor) to the moment tensor calculated in this subspace. This also implies that L_{i+r}^r is not simple for $0 \leq i < n$. \square

Although our main interest in this article is the classification of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation covariant tensor valuations, we also obtain a characterization of translation covariant and $(n+r)$ -homogeneous tensor valuations in Theorem 27. In fact, by Lemma 26, they are equal to the moment tensor up to a scalar. In this simple result, which is analogous to Alesker's result on tensor valuations on convex bodies in [5], no $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariance is assumed.

Theorem 27. *If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is a translation covariant, $(n+r)$ -homogeneous valuation, then there is $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Z(P) = cL_{n+r}^r(P)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Proof. For $r = 0$, the statement is the same as Theorem 21. Suppose the assumption is true for all translation covariant and $(n+i)$ -homogeneous valuations that take values in tensors of rank $i < r$. Let Z^{r-j} for $j = 1, \dots, r$ be the associated functions of Z . By Proposition 7, the associated function Z^{r-1} is homogeneous of degree $n+r-1$. Hence, by the induction assumption, there is $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Z^{r-1} = cL_{n+r-1}^{r-1}$. Note that it follows from Proposition 6 that

$$Z^{r-j} = cL_{n+r-j}^{r-j} \quad (8)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, r-1$. Consider the translation covariant and $(n+r)$ -homogeneous valuation $\tilde{Z} = Z - cL_{n+r}^r$. For $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, by the translation covariance of \tilde{Z} and L_{n+r}^r and (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}(P+y) &= Z(P+y) - cL_{n+r}^r(P+y) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r Z^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!} - c \sum_{j=0}^r L_{n+r-j}^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!} \\ &= Z(P) - cL_{n+r}^r(P) \\ &= \tilde{Z}(P). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the valuation \tilde{Z} is translation invariant. Theorem 13 implies that $\tilde{Z} = 0$ as non-trivial translation invariant valuations cannot be homogeneous of degree greater than n . \square

The characterization of the first Ehrhart tensor is the key element in the classification of tensor valuations. We show, in Lemma 28, that it can only be simple in the planar case. Faulhaber's formula oftentimes appears in the calculation of the discrete moment tensor of a lattice polytope as it does in Lemma 28. The formula was given by Bernoulli in *Ars Conjectandi* which was translated in [9] although he fully attributed it to Faulhaber due to his formulas for sums of integral powers up to the 17th power [16]. With the convention that $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ and that $B_{2i+1} = 0$ for $i > 0$, the formula is stated as

$$\sum_{i=1}^k i^r = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{l=0}^r (-1)^l \binom{r+1}{l} B_l k^{r+1-l} \quad (9)$$

where B_l are the Bernoulli numbers. We will use the following convolution identity for Bernoulli polynomials (see, e.g., [1]) which, interestingly enough, is usually attributed to Leonhard Euler. For $n \geq 1$, the identity is

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} B_i B_{n-i} = -nB_{n-1} - (n-1)B_n. \quad (10)$$

Lemma 28. For $n \geq 2$, the valuation L_1^r is non-trivial. For $n = 2$ and $r \geq 3$ odd, it is simple.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $L_1^r(T_2)(e_1[r]) \neq 0$ and, by Lemma 5 for $n = 2$ and $r \geq 3$ odd, that $L_1^r(T_1)(e_1[r]) = 0$.

For any integer $k \geq 1$, we have

$$L^r(kT_1)(e_1[r]) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{x \in kT_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} (x \cdot e_1) \cdots (x \cdot e_1) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i=1}^k i^r \quad (11)$$

where the sum of the first k powers of r can be expressed through Faulhaber's formula (9). By its homogeneous decomposition, Corollary 15, the first Ehrhart tensor is the coefficient of k , where $l = r$ in (9), implying that $L_1^r(T_1)(e_1[r]) = \frac{1}{r!}(-1)^r B_r$. As $B_r = 0$ for $r = 2m + 1$ where $m \neq 0 \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain the second statement of the lemma.

Similarly to (11), for any integer $k \geq 1$, we have

$$L^r(kT_2)(e_1[r]) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{x \in kT_2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} (x \cdot e_1) \cdots (x \cdot e_1) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^j i^r.$$

Applying Faulhaber's formula (9) twice, the discrete moment tensor of kT_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} L^r(kT_2)(e_1[r]) &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^j i^r \\ &= \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \sum_{l=0}^r (-1)^l \binom{r+1}{l} B_l \sum_{j=1}^k j^{r+1-l} \\ &= \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \sum_{l=0}^r \frac{(-1)^l B_l}{r+2-l} \binom{r+1}{l} \sum_{m=0}^{r+1-l} (-1)^m \binom{r+2-l}{m} B_m k^{r+2-l-m}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The value of $L_1^r(T_2)(e_1[r])$ is equal to the coefficient of k in (12); precisely the value when we set $m = r + 1 - l$. Hence

$$L_1^r(T_2)(e_1[r]) = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{(r+1)!} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r+1}{l} B_l B_{r+1-l}. \quad (13)$$

Euler's identity (10) together with equation (13) and $B_0 = 1$ then gives

$$L_1^r(T_2)(e_1[r]) = \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} (B_r + B_{r+1}) \neq 0$$

as $-(B_1 + B_2) = \frac{1}{3}$ and, for any $m \neq 0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_{2m} \neq 0$ and $B_{2m+1} = 0$. \square

8 The classification of tensor valuations

The main aim of this section is to prove Theorem 4. We also obtain characterization results for the one-homogeneous component of the discrete moment tensor in Corollaries 42 and 43 and construct a new $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant valuation $N : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^9$ in Section 8.2. We start with a discussion of simple tensor valuations in the planar case.

8.1 Simple tensor valuations on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$

We make the following elementary observation for valuations that vanish on the square $[0, 1]^2$.

Lemma 29. *Let $r > 1$ be even and let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation. If $Z([0, 1]^2) = 0$, then $Z(T_2) = 0$.*

Proof. The square $[0, 1]^2$ can be dissected into T_2 and a translate of $-T_2$. Therefore, we obtain

$$Z(T_2) + Z(-T_2) = (1 + (-1)^r) Z(T_2) = 0$$

which implies that $Z(T_2) = 0$. □

We also require the following result.

Lemma 30. *Let $1 < r < 8$ be odd and let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation. If $Z([0, 1]^2) = 0$, then there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Z(T_2) = cL_1^r(T_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. Following [20], a valuation Z is called $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ - ε -equivariant, if

$$Z(\phi P) = (\det \phi)^\varepsilon Z(P) \circ \phi^t$$

for all $\phi \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, where \det stands for determinant.

Let $\vartheta \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the transform that swaps e_1 with e_2 and hence has $\det \vartheta = -1$. Defining, as in [20], the valuations Z^+ and Z^- for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Z^+(P) &= \frac{1}{2} (Z(P) + Z(\vartheta^{-1}P) \circ \vartheta^t), \\ Z^-(P) &= \frac{1}{2} (Z(P) - Z(\vartheta^{-1}P) \circ \vartheta^t), \end{aligned}$$

we see that Z^+ is $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ - ε -equivariant with $\varepsilon = 0$ and that Z^- is $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ - ε -equivariant with $\varepsilon = 1$. Indeed, if $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Z^+(\phi P) &= \frac{1}{2} (Z(\phi P) + Z((\vartheta^{-1}\phi\vartheta)\vartheta^{-1}P) \circ \vartheta^t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (Z(P) \circ \phi^t + Z(\vartheta^{-1}P) \circ \vartheta^t \phi^t) \\ &= Z^+(P) \circ \phi^t. \end{aligned}$$

If $\phi \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\det \phi = -1$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Z^+(\phi P) &= \frac{1}{2} (Z(\phi\vartheta\vartheta^{-1}P) + Z(\vartheta^{-1}\phi P) \circ \vartheta^t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (Z(\vartheta^{-1}P) \circ \vartheta^t \phi^t + Z(P) \circ \phi^t) \\ &= Z^+(P) \circ \phi^t. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for Z^- is similar. Moreover, note that $Z = Z^+ + Z^-$.

Let $r = 2s + 1$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$. We set $a_k = Z^+(T_2)(e_1[k], e_2[r - k])$ for $0 \leq k \leq r$. Then

$$Z^+(T_2)(e_1[k], e_2[r - k]) = Z^+(\vartheta T_2)(e_1[k], e_2[r - k]) = Z^+(T_2)(e_1[r - k], e_2[k])$$

or $a_k = a_{r-k}$. If we set $b_k = Z^-(T_2)(e_1[k], e_2[r - k])$ for $0 \leq k \leq r$, then

$$Z^-(T_2)(e_1[k], e_2[r - k]) = Z^-(\vartheta T_2)(e_1[k], e_2[r - k]) = -Z^-(T_2)(e_1[r - k], e_2[k])$$

or $b_k = -b_{r-k}$. Thus, in each case, we have to determine only $s + 1$ coordinates of $Z^\pm(T_2)$.

Let $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be the map sending e_1 to $-e_2$ and e_2 to $e_1 - e_2$. We have $T_2 - e_2 = \phi T_2$. For $0 \leq k \leq r$, the translation invariance of Z^\pm implies

$$\begin{aligned} Z^\pm(T_2)(e_1[k], e_2[r - k]) &= Z^\pm(\phi T_2)(e_1[k], e_2[r - k]) \\ &= Z^\pm(T_2)(e_2[k], -e_1 - e_2[r - k]) \\ &= (-1)^{r-k} \sum_{i=0}^{r-k} \binom{r-k}{i} Z^\pm(T_2)(e_2[r - i], e_1[i]). \end{aligned}$$

First, we look at $Z^-(T_2)$. Note that $k = 0$ gives us $b_0 = -b_r$ and that we have a system of $r + 1$ equations involving b_0, \dots, b_r . That is, for k odd, we have

$$b_0 + \binom{k}{1} b_1 + \dots + \binom{k}{k-1} b_{k-1} + 2b_k = 0 \quad (14)$$

and, for $k > 0$ even,

$$b_0 + \binom{k}{1} b_1 + \dots + \binom{k}{k-1} b_{k-1} = 0. \quad (15)$$

It is easily checked that, for $1 < r < 8$ odd, this system of equations combined with $b_k = -b_{r-k}$ has rank $r + 1$. Hence $Z^-(T_2)$ vanishes and we have $Z(T_2) = Z^+(T_2)$. Yet, equations (14) and (15) remain the same for $Z^+(T_2)$ with the replacement of each b_i by a_i . It is easy to see that for $1 < r < 8$ odd, this system of equations combined with $a_k = a_{r-k}$ has rank r . As the tensor $L_1^r(T_2)$ is non-trivial by Lemma 28, any solution is a multiple of $L_1^r(T_2)$ concluding the proof. \square

We remark that the above lemma fails to hold for $r > 8$ odd. In particular, the system of equations that determine the $(r + 1)$ coordinates $Z(T_2)(e_1[k], e_2[r - k])$ has rank $(r - 1)$ for $r = 9, 11, 13$ and rank $(r - 2)$ for $r = 15, 17, 19$; there exist new tensor valuations in these cases. For $r = 9$, we describe the construction of this new valuation in the following section.

Proposition 31. *Let $2 \leq r \leq 8$. If $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation, then $Z = 0$ for r even and there is $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Z = cL_1^r$ for r odd.*

Proof. We only need to consider the statement for Z being in addition i -homogeneous by Theorem 13. If $i = 2$, then Z is trivial due to Proposition 23. If $i = 1$, then Lemma 24 implies that Z vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ which gives $Z([0, 1]^2) = 0$. By Lemma 29, we have $Z(T_2) = 0$ for r even. By Lemma 30, there is $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Z(T_2) = cL_1^r$ for r odd. Since Z is simple, Corollary 10 implies in both cases the result. \square

8.2 A new tensor valuation on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$

We now define a new simple, 1-homogeneous, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant tensor valuation $N : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^9$. The basic step is to set $N(T_2) = L_1^3(T_2)^3$; that is, to use the threefold symmetric tensor product of $L_1^3(T_2)$. Note that $L_1^3 : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$ is simple, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, translation invariant, and, by Lemma 28, non-trivial. So, for $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^3(\phi T_2)^3 &= L_1^3(\phi T_2) \odot L_1^3(\phi T_2) \odot L_1^3(\phi T_2) \\ &= L_1^3(T_2) \circ \phi^t \odot L_1^3(T_2) \circ \phi^t \odot L_1^3(T_2) \circ \phi^t \\ &= L_1^3(T_2)^3 \circ \phi^t. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Also note that $L_1^3([0, 1]^2) = 0$ by Lemma 24.

More precisely, we set $N(P) = 0$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ with $\dim(P) \leq 1$ and for a two-dimensional lattice polygon P we choose a dissection into translates of triangles $\phi_i T_2$ with $\phi_i \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Here P is said to be *dissected* into the triangles S_1, \dots, S_m if $P = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m$ where S_i and S_j have disjoint interiors for every $i \neq j$; this is written as $P = S_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S_m$. By Theorem 8, a simple valuation N then has the property that $N(S_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S_m) = N(S_1) + \dots + N(S_m)$. We set

$$N(P) = \sum_{i=1}^m L_1^3(\phi_i T_2)^3. \tag{17}$$

Note that (16) implies that N is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant.

We need to show that N is well-defined. To do this, we use the following definition and theorem. Every lattice polygon has a unimodular triangulation; that is, a dissection into unimodular triangles (see, e.g., [14]). If the union of two unimodular triangles in such a triangulation is a convex quadrilateral Q , then replacing the diagonal of Q given by the edges of the adjacent triangles with the opposite diagonal produces a new unimodular triangulation. This process is called a *flip*.

Theorem 32 (Lawson [30]). *Given any two unimodular triangulations \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' of a lattice polygon $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, there exists a finite sequence of flips transforming \mathcal{T} into \mathcal{T}' .*

We now show that the definition (17) does not depend on the choice of the triangulation.

Lemma 33. *Let S_1, \dots, S_m and S'_1, \dots, S'_m be unimodular triangles. If*

$$S_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S_m = S'_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S'_m \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n),$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m N(S_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m N(S'_i).$$

Proof. By Theorem 32, there is a sequence of flips that transforms any triangulation of a given polygon P to any other triangulation of P . Therefore, it suffices to check that the value of N is

not changed by any flip, as N vanishes on lower dimensional polygons. So if $S_i \sqcup S_j = S'_k \sqcup S'_l$ and $S_i \sqcup S_j$ is a translate of an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ image of $[0, 1]^2$, we have to show that

$$N(S_i) + N(S_j) = N(S'_k) + N(S'_l).$$

This is easily seen. Indeed, since $S_i \sqcup S_j$ is a translate of an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ image of $[0, 1]^2$, we have

$$N(S_i) + N(S_j) = N(S_i \sqcup S_j) = 0,$$

by the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariance as $L_1^3([0, 1]^2) = 0$ and the same holds for S'_k, S'_l . \square

Lemma 33 also shows that N is a valuation. Indeed, if $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ are such that $P \cup Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, then note that a unimodular triangulation of $P \cup Q$ can be obtained by taking a unimodular triangulation of $P \cap Q$ and extending this into a triangulation of P by the addition of unimodular triangles and then further extending to $P \cup Q$. Now, the valuation property of N follows immediately from the definition. Thus, we have shown that $N : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^9$ is a simple, 1-homogeneous, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation. Elementary calculations show that $L_1^3(T_2)^3 \in \mathbb{T}^9$ is non-trivial and not a multiple of $L_1^9(T_2)$.

We remark that for $r > 9$ odd, we can define new valuations in a similar way using symmetric tensor products of $L_1^{s_j}(T_2)$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $s_j > 1$ odd and $s_1 + \dots + s_m = r$. In general, there are linear dependencies among these new valuations.

8.3 Simple tensor valuations on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$

Let $n \geq 3$. For the classification of simple tensor valuations, we use the following dissection of the the 2-cylinder $T_{n-1} + [0, e_n]$ into n simplices S_1, \dots, S_n . Let $e_0 = 0$. We set $S_1 = T_n$ and

$$S_i = [e_0 + e_n, \dots, e_{i-1} + e_n, e_{i-1}, \dots, e_{n-1}] \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n. \quad (18)$$

Note that each S_i is n -dimensional and unimodular (see, for example, [23, Section 2.1]).

Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation. Applying the dissection (18), we make use of the translation invariance of Z and consider $\tilde{S}_i = S_i - e_n$ for all $i > 1$. Define $\phi_i \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, for $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, by $\phi_i e_j = e_j$ for $j < i - 1$, $\phi_i e_k = e_k - e_n$ for $i - 1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, and $\phi_i e_n = e_{i-1}$. Let ϕ_1 be the identity matrix. Then $\tilde{S}_i = \phi_i T_n$ for all $i \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Z(T_{n-1} + [0, e_n])(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_n[r_n]) \\ &= Z(\phi_1 T_n)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_n[r_n]) + \dots + Z(\phi_n T_n)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_n[r_n]) \\ &= Z(T_n)(\phi_1^t e_1[r_1], \dots, \phi_1^t e_n[r_n]) + \dots + Z(T_n)(\phi_n^t e_1[r_1], \dots, \phi_n^t e_n[r_n]) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for any $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ with $r_1 + \dots + r_n = r$. For $Z(T_{n-1} + [0, e_n]) = 0$, this is a system of linear and homogeneous equations for the $\binom{n+r-1}{r}$ coordinates of the tensor $Z(T_n)$. In addition, if $\psi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is an even permutation of e_1, \dots, e_n , then $\psi T_n = T_n$ and we can also make use of these symmetries. We checked directly that the corresponding matrix has full rank and that, therefore, all coordinates vanish by using a computer algebra system (namely, SageMath [40]) in the following cases.

Lemma 34. *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation such that $Z(T_{n-1} + [0, e_n]) = 0$. If $3 \leq n < r \leq 8$, then $Z(T_n) = 0$.*

For $n = 3$, we also require the following variants of the above lemma. The calculations were again performed with a computer algebra system.

Lemma 35. *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation. If*

$$Z(T_2 + [0, e_3])(e_1[r_1], e_2[r_2], e_3[r_3]) = 0$$

for r_3 odd and $r \in \{3, 5, 7\}$, then $Z(T_3) = 0$.

Lemma 36. *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation. If*

$$Z(T_2 + [0, e_3])(e_1[r_1], e_2[r_2], e_3[r_3]) = 0$$

for r_3 even and $r \in \{2, 4, 6, 8\}$, then $Z(T_3) = 0$.

For more information, see [44].

The dissection (18) is also used in the proof of the following result.

Lemma 37. *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation such that $Z(T_{n-1} + [0, e_n]) = 0$. If $n \geq 2$ and $n \geq r$, then $Z(T_n) = 0$.*

Proof. As in (19), we have

$$0 = Z(T_{n-1} + [0, e_n]) = Z(\phi_1 T_n) + \cdots + Z(\phi_n T_n),$$

as Z is a simple, translation invariant valuation that vanishes on $T_{n-1} + [0, e_n]$. Thus, for any coordinate of $Z(T_{n-1} + [0, e_n])$ where $r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} \in \{0, \dots, r\}$, we have the equations

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Z(T_{n-1} + [0, e_n])(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}]) \\ &= Z(T_n)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}]) + Z(\phi_2 T_n)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}]) \\ &\quad + \cdots + Z(\phi_n T_n)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}]) \\ &= Z(T_n)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}]) + Z(T_n)(\phi_2^t e_1[r_1], \dots, \phi_2^t e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}]) \\ &\quad + \cdots + Z(T_n)(\phi_n^t e_1[r_1], \dots, \phi_n^t e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}]) \\ &= Z(T_n)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}]) + Z(T_n)(e_1 + e_n[r_1], e_2[r_2], \dots, e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}]) \\ &\quad + \cdots + Z(T_n)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_{n-2}[r_{n-2}], e_{n-1} + e_n[r_{n-1}]). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

For $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_1 + \cdots + r_n = r$, the corresponding coordinate of $Z(T_n)$ is $Z(T_n)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_n[r_n])$. As T_n is invariant under permutations, the permutations of the r_j 's are irrelevant. Without loss of generality, we may then assume $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_n$ and drop r_j when $r_j = 0$ from our notation. Set $a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} = Z(T_n)(e_{i_1}[r_1], \dots, e_{i_m}[r_m])$ where $r_1 + \cdots + r_m = r$ and each $r_j \geq 1$.

We define a total order \preceq on the coordinates a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} by saying that $a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} \preceq a_{s_1, \dots, s_{m'}}$ if $r_1 < s_1$ or if $r_1 = s_1, \dots, r_{j-1} = s_{j-1}$ and $r_j < s_j$. Therefore, the coordinates are ordered in the following way from the biggest to smallest:

$$a_r, a_{r-1,1}, a_{r-2,2}, \dots, a_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}, a_{r-2,1,1}, \dots, a_{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor, 1}, \dots, a_{2,1, \dots, 1}, a_{1, \dots, 1},$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the largest integer less or equal to x and $\lceil x \rceil$ is the smallest integer greater or equal to x .

We claim that the equations (20) imply that the coordinates of $Z(T_n)$ that involve at most $(n-1)$ of e_1, \dots, e_n all vanish. One can see this by noticing that, for given r_1, \dots, r_m with $m < n$, the linear equation (20) only involves a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} and coordinates that are smaller than this coordinate in the ordering defined above. Thus, for $r < n$, we have an equation for each coordinate and the system of equations can be regarded as an upper-triangular matrix that, therefore, has full-rank. Thus, each coordinate vanishes implying that $Z(T_n) = 0$ for $r < n$.

Additionally, for $n = r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Z(T_{n-1} + [0, e_n])(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) \\ &= Z(T_n)(e_1, \dots, e_n) + Z(T_n)(e_1 + e_n, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}, -(e_1 + \dots + e_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + Z(T_n)(e_1, e_2 + e_n, \dots, e_{n-1}, -(e_2 + \dots + e_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + Z(T_n)(e_1, e_2, e_3 + e_n, \dots, e_{n-1}, -(e_3 + \dots + e_{n-1})) + \dots \\ &\quad + Z(T_n)(e_1, \dots, e_{n-2}, e_{n-1} + e_n, -e_{n-1}) \\ &= -(n-2)a_{1, \dots, 1} - (n-1)^2 a_{2, 1, \dots, 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $a_{1, \dots, 1} = 0$, as $a_{2, 1, \dots, 1} = 0$ by the first step. As the first step also shows that all further coordinates vanish, this completes the proof. \square

We now establish the three-dimensional case first and then, using this result, the general case.

Lemma 38. *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation. If $2 \leq r \leq 8$, then $Z(T_3) = 0$.*

Proof. We only need to consider the statement for Z being in addition i -homogeneous by Theorem 13. If Z is 3-homogeneous, then it is trivial due to Proposition 23. Lemma 24 implies that $Z(T_2 + [0, e_3]) = 0$ if $i = 1$. Hence, Lemma 34 and Lemma 37 imply that $Z(T_3) = 0$ for $i = 1$. Therefore, let Z be 2-homogeneous.

Since Z is simple and 2-homogeneous, Theorem 16 implies that $Z(Q) = -Z(-Q)$, that is, Z is *odd*. Using that Z is odd, translation invariant, and $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z(T_2 + [0, e_3])(e_1[r_1], e_2[r_2], e_3[r_3]) &= -Z(-T_2 - [0, e_3])(e_1[r_1], e_2[r_2], e_3[r_3]) \\ &= -Z(-T_2 + [0, e_3])(e_1[r_1], e_2[r_2], e_3[r_3]) \\ &= (-1)^{r_1+r_2+1} Z(T_2 + [0, e_3])(e_1[r_1], e_2[r_2], e_3[r_3]). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

First, let r be odd. Then (21) implies that for r_3 odd,

$$Z(T_2 + [0, e_3])(e_1[r_1], e_2[r_2], e_3[r_3]) = 0.$$

We can therefore apply Lemma 35 and obtain that $Z(T_3) = 0$. This implies the statement of the lemma for r odd.

Second, let r be even. Then (21) implies that for r_3 even,

$$Z(T_2 + [0, e_3])(e_1[r_1], e_2[r_2], e_3[r_3]) = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 36 gives $Z(T_3) = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 39. *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation. If $n \geq 3$ and $2 \leq r \leq 8$, then $Z = 0$.*

Proof. For $n = 3$, we have $Z(T_3) = 0$ by Lemma 38 and the result follows from Corollary 10.

Let $n > 3$ and suppose that the statement is true in dimension $n - 1$. Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation for $2 \leq r \leq 8$. We only need to consider the statement for Z being in addition i -homogeneous by Theorem 13. If Z is n -homogeneous, then it is trivial due to Proposition 23. So, let $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

Define $Y : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^s(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ by setting for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1})$

$$Y(P)(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}]) = Z(P + [0, e_n])(e_1[r_1], \dots, e_{n-1}[r_{n-1}], e_n[r_n])$$

where $r_1 + \dots + r_{n-1} = s$ and $r_1 + \dots + r_n = r$. Then Y is a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, and translation invariant valuation. Furthermore, Y is $(i - 1)$ -homogeneous as

$$k^i Y(P) = k^i Z(P + [0, e_n]) = Z(kP + k[0, e_n]) = kZ(kP + [0, e_n]) = kY(kP)$$

by the simplicity and translation invariance of Z .

For $2 \leq s \leq 8$, the induction assumption implies that $Y = 0$. If $s = 0$, then Y is real-valued and $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ and translation invariant. Since it is simple, the Betke & Kneser Theorem implies that it is a multiple of the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional volume as, by Lemma 26, the only simple Ehrhart coefficient is volume. Hence, Y is also $(n - 1)$ -homogeneous and must vanish. If $s = 1$, then Y is vector-valued and $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant. Since it is simple, Theorem 3 implies that it is a multiple of the moment vector, as by Lemma 26 the only simple Ehrhart tensor of rank one is the moment tensor. Thus Y is also n -homogeneous, which implies that it vanishes.

In particular, we obtain $Z(T_{n-1} + [0, e_n]) = 0$. Hence, Lemma 34 and Lemma 37 imply that $Z(T_n) = 0$. The result now follows from Corollary 10. \square

8.4 General tensor valuations

Let $r \geq 2$. The translation property (5) combined with (6) gives

$$L_1^r(P + x) = L_1^r(P) + L_0^{r-1}(P)x = L_1^r(P),$$

that is, L_1^r is translation invariant. We show that every $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant valuation is a multiple of L_1^r for $2 \leq r \leq 8$. We start with the case of 1-homogeneous valuations.

Proposition 40. *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be an $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant valuation. If Z is 1-homogeneous and $2 \leq r \leq 8$, then there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Z = cL_1^r$.*

Proof. We use induction on the dimension n . The case $n = 1$ is elementary (and also follows from the Betke & Kneser Theorem) and states that, for a 1-homogeneous and translation invariant valuation $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r(\mathbb{R})$, we have $Z = cL_1^r$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Assume the statement holds for $n-1$. Restrict Z to lattice polytopes with vertices in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} . By Lemma 5, we may view this restricted valuation as a function $Z' : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Since Z' is an $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant valuation on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1})$, by the induction hypothesis, there is $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Z'(P) = cL_1^r(P)$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1})$. By Lemma 5, for $1 \leq r_n \leq r$,

$$Z(P)(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{r-r_n}}, e_n[r_n]) = cL_1^r(P)(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{r-r_n}}, e_n[r_n]) = 0$$

where $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1})$ and $i_1, \dots, i_{r-r_n} \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Hence $Z(P) = cL_1^r(P)$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1})$. Set

$$\tilde{Z} = Z - cL_1^r.$$

Note that \tilde{Z} vanishes on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1})$. By the $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariance and translation invariance of \tilde{Z} , this implies that \tilde{Z} vanishes on lattice polytopes in any $(n-1)$ -dimensional lattice hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as we have $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \phi \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} + x$ for some $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. In other words, \tilde{Z} is simple and the statement follows from Propositions 31 and 39. \square

We can now extend Proposition 23 to i -homogeneous valuations with $2 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 41. *Let $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ be an $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant valuation. If Z is i -homogeneous with $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $2 \leq r \leq 8$, then $Z = 0$.*

Proof. Lemma 25 implies that the valuation Z vanishes on all lattice polytopes of dimension $m < i$. We use induction on m and show that Z also vanishes on all m -dimensional lattice polytopes for $i \leq m \leq n$.

First, let $m = i$. Restrict Z to lattice polytopes in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^i)$. By Lemma 5, we may view this restricted valuation as a function $Z' : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^i) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r(\mathbb{R}^i)$. Since Z' is invariant under translations of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^i)$ into itself and $\mathrm{SL}_i(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, Proposition 23 implies that Z' vanishes on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^i)$. Thus, by Lemma 5, we obtain that also Z vanishes on lattice polytopes with vertices in \mathbb{Z}^i . Now, let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ be a general i -dimensional lattice polytope. Let H be the i -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n that is parallel to the affine hull of P . There exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $P+x \in H$ and there exists $\phi \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\phi(H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) = \mathbb{Z}^i$. Since Z vanishes on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^i)$ and is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant, we obtain that $Z(P) = 0$.

Next, for $m > i$, suppose that $Z(Q) = 0$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ with $\dim(Q) < m$. By Lemma 5, we may view the restriction of Z to lattice polytopes in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ as a function $Z' : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Since Z' is a simple, $\mathrm{SL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant valuation and $m \geq 3$, Proposition 39 implies that Z' vanishes on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^m)$. As in the previous step, this implies that $Z(P) = 0$ for any m -dimensional lattice polytope in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and, by induction, we have $Z = 0$. \square

The characterization of L_1^r follows immediately from the combination of Theorem 13, Proposition 40, and Proposition 41.

Corollary 42. *For $2 \leq r \leq 8$, a function $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is an $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant and translation invariant valuation if and only if there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Z = cL_1^r$.*

Together with Proposition 11, we obtain the following consequence of Corollary 42.

Corollary 43. *For $2 \leq r \leq 8$, a function $Z : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant, translation invariant, and Minkowski additive if and only if there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $Z = cL_1^r$.*

8.5 Proof of Theorem 4

The classification is now obtained by an inductive proof on the rank r . Recall that the Betke & Kneser Theorem gives the characterization for the case $r = 0$ and Theorem 3 for $r = 1$. The induction assumption implies that the associated function $Z^{r-1} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{r-1}$ of Z^r is a linear combination of the rank $r - 1$ Ehrhart tensors; that is,

$$Z^{r-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+r-1} c_i L_i^{r-1}$$

for some constants $c_1, \dots, c_{n+r-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, for any $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, this characterization together with Proposition 6 applied to Z^{r-1} and to L_i^{r-1} yields

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{r-1}(P + y) &= Z^{r-1}(P) + Z^{r-2}(P) \frac{y}{1!} + \dots + Z^0(P) \frac{y^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+r-1} c_i L_i^{r-1}(P + y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+r-1} c_i \left(L_i^{r-1}(P) + L_{i-1}^{r-2}(P) \frac{y}{1!} + \dots + L_{i-r+1}^0(P) \frac{y^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

A comparison of the coefficients of the polynomial expansion in y gives

$$Z^{r-j}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+r-1} c_i L_{i-j+1}^{r-j}(P).$$

Consider the $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ equivariant valuation

$$\tilde{Z} = Z - \sum_{i=2}^{n+r} c_{i-1} L_i^r.$$

For $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, by Proposition 6 and the induction assumption, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}(P + y) &= Z(P + y) - \sum_{i=2}^{n+r} c_{i-1} L_i^r(P + y) \\ &= Z(P) + \sum_{j=1}^r Z^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!} - \sum_{i=2}^{n+r} \sum_{j=0}^r c_{i-1} L_{i-j}^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!} \\ &= Z(P) + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^{n+r-1} c_i L_{i-j+1}^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!} - \sum_{i=2}^{n+r} c_{i-1} L_i^r(P) - \sum_{i=2}^{n+r} \sum_{j=1}^r c_{i-1} L_{i-j}^{r-j}(P) \frac{y^j}{j!} \\ &= Z(P) - \sum_{i=2}^{n+r} c_{i-1} L_i^r(P) \\ &= \tilde{Z}(P). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the function \tilde{Z} is translation invariant and Corollary 42 implies that $\tilde{Z} = c_1 L_1^r$ proving the theorem.

Acknowledgments

The work of both authors was supported, in part, by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Projects P25515-N25 and I3017-N35.

References

- [1] T. Agoh and K. Dilcher, *Convolution identities and lacunary recurrences for Bernoulli numbers*, J. Number Theory **124** (2007), 105–122.
- [2] S. Alesker, *Continuous valuations on convex sets*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), 402–409.
- [3] S. Alesker, *Continuous rotation invariant valuations on convex sets*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), 977–1005.
- [4] S. Alesker, *Integrals of smooth and analytic functions over Minkowski’s sums of convex sets*, Convex geometric analysis (Berkeley, CA, 1996), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 34, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999, 1–15.
- [5] S. Alesker, *Description of continuous isometry covariant valuations on convex sets*, Geom. Dedicata **74** (1999), 241–248.
- [6] A. Barvinok, *Integer Points in Polyhedra*, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.
- [7] M. Beck and S. Robins, *Computing the Continuous Discretely*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [8] A. Bernig and D. Hug, *Kinematic formulas for tensor valuations*, J. Reine Angew. Math., in press (arXiv:1402.2750).
- [9] J. Bernoulli, *The Art of Conjecturing, together with Letter to a Friend on Sets in Court Tennis*, Translated by E. D. Sylla, John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2006.
- [10] U. Betke, *Gitterpunkte und Gitterpunktfunktionale*, Habilitationsschrift, Universität Siegen, 1979.
- [11] U. Betke and M. Kneser, *Zerlegungen und Bewertungen von Gitterpolytopen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **358** (1985), 202–208.
- [12] K.J. Böröczky and M. Ludwig, *Minkowski valuations on lattice polytopes*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), in press (arXiv:1602.01117).
- [13] K.J. Böröczky and M. Ludwig, *Valuations on lattice polytopes*, Tensor Valuations and their Applications in Stochastic Geometry and Imaging (M. Kiderlen and E. Vedel Jensen, eds.), 213–234, Lecture Notes in Math., 2177, Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [14] J. A. De Loera, J. Rambau, and F. Santos, *Triangulations: Structures for Algorithms and Applications*, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, Vol. 25, Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- [15] E. Ehrhart, *Sur les polyèdres rationnels homothétiques à n dimensions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **254** (1962), 616–618.
- [16] J. Faulhaber, *Academia Algebrae*, Darinnen die miraculosische Inventiones zu den höchsten Cossen weiters continuirt und profitiert werden, Augspurg, bey Johann Ulrich Schönigs, 1631.
- [17] P.M. Gruber, *Convex and Discrete Geometry*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 336, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [18] C. Haberl and L. Parapatits, *The centro-affine Hadwiger theorem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (2014), 685–705.
- [19] C. Haberl and L. Parapatits, *Moments and valuations*, Amer. J. Math. **138** (2017), 1575–1603.
- [20] C. Haberl and L. Parapatits, *Centro-affine tensor valuations*, Adv. Math. **316** (2017), 806–865.
- [21] H. Hadwiger, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie*, Springer, Berlin, 1957.
- [22] H. Hadwiger and R. Schneider, *Vektorielle Integralgeometrie*, Elem. Math. **26** (1971), 49–57.

- [23] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [24] D. Hug and R. Schneider, *Local tensor valuations*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **24** (2014), 1516–1564.
- [25] K. Jochemko and R. Sanyal, *Combinatorial positivity of translation-invariant valuations and a discrete Hadwiger theorem*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, in press (arXiv:1505.07440).
- [26] A.G. Khovanskiĭ and A.V. Pukhlikov, *Finitely additive measures of virtual polyhedra*, *Algebra i Analiz* **4** (1992), 161–185.
- [27] M. Kiderlen and E. Vedel Jensen (eds.), *Tensor Valuations and their Applications in Stochastic Geometry and Imaging*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 2177, Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [28] D.A. Klain and G.-C. Rota, *Introduction to Geometric Probability*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [29] K. Kusejko and L. Parapatits, *A valuation-theoretic approach to translative-equidecomposability*, *Adv. Math.* **297** (2016), 174–195.
- [30] C.L. Lawson, *Transforming triangulations*, *Discrete Math.* **3** (1972), 365–372.
- [31] M. Ludwig, *Valuations on polytopes containing the origin in their interiors*, *Adv. Math.* **170** (2002), 239–256.
- [32] M. Ludwig, *Ellipsoids and matrix valued valuations*, *Duke Math. J.* **119** (2003), 159–188.
- [33] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *A new ellipsoid associated with convex bodies*, *Duke Math. J.* **104** (2000), 375–390.
- [34] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *The Cramer-Rao inequality for star bodies*, *Duke Math. J.* **112** (2002), 59–81.
- [35] I.G. Macdonald, *Polynomials associated with finite cell-complexes*, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **4** (1971), 181–192.
- [36] P. McMullen, *Valuations and Euler-type relations on certain classes of convex polytopes*, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **35** (1977), 113–135.
- [37] P. McMullen, *Lattice invariant valuations on rational polytopes*, *Arch. Math.* **31** (1978/79), 509–516.
- [38] P. McMullen, *Isometry covariant valuations on convex bodies*, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.* **50** (1997), 259–271.
- [39] P. McMullen, *Valuations on lattice polytopes*, *Adv. Math.* **220** (2009), 303–323.
- [40] SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 7.4), The Sage Developers, 2016, <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [41] G.T. Sallee, *Polytopes, valuations, and the Euler relation*, *Canad. J. Math.* **20** (1968), 1412–1424.
- [42] R. Schneider, *On Steiner points of convex bodies*, *Israel J. Math.* **9** (1971), 241–249.
- [43] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Second expanded ed., *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [44] L. Silverstein, *Tensor Valuations on Lattice Polytopes*, PhD thesis, TU Wien, 2017.

Monika Ludwig
 Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie
 Technische Universität Wien
 Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10/1046
 1040 Wien, Austria
 E-mail: monika.ludwig@tuwien.ac.at

Laura Silverstein
 Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie
 Technische Universität Wien
 Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10/1046
 1040 Wien, Austria
 E-mail: laura.silverstein@tuwien.ac.at